System size dependence of pre-equilibrium and applicability of hydrodynamics in heavy-ion collisions

Clemens Werthmann in Collaboration with Victor Ambrus and Sören Schlichting based on PRD 107, 094013 and PRL 130, 152301

University of Wrocław















- early stage requires non-equilibrium description, but system quickly equilibrates
- strongly interacting QGP leaves imprints of thermalization and collectivity in final state observables: vn, (pT), particle yields, ...



Hiroshi Masui (2008)





Very dilute, hydrodynamics not necessarily applicable

still collective behaviour is observed!

Nagle, Zajc Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part. 68 (2018) 211

collectivity can also be explained in kinetic theory, a microscopic description which does not rely on equilibration

interpolate between free streaming at small opacities and hydrodynamics at large opacities!

#### Aim

Case study in simplified kinetic theory description on full range from small to large system size with comparison to hydrodynamics for transverse flow observables



 microscopic description in terms of averaged on-shell phase-space distribution of massless bosons:

$$f(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \eta, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}, y) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{\nu_{\text{eff}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}^3 x \, \mathrm{d}^3 p}(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \eta, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}, y)$$

- boost invariance
- initialized with vanishing longitudinal pressure and no transverse momentum anisotropies

time evolution: Boltzmann equation in conformal relaxation time approximation

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f = C_{\text{RTA}}[f] = -\frac{p^{\mu}u_{\mu}}{\tau_{R}}(f - f_{\text{eq}}) , \quad \tau_{R} = 5\frac{\eta}{s}T^{-1}$$

results will depend only on initial state and opacity

▶ dimensionless parameter: opacity ~ "total interaction rate"

Kurkela, Wiedemann, Wu EPJC 79 (2019) 965

$$\hat{\gamma} = \left(5\frac{\eta}{s}\right) \quad \left(\frac{1}{a\pi}R\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\perp}}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\right)$$

 $( ) -1 ( 1 ) (0) \rangle^{1/4}$ 

encodes dependencies on viscosity, transverse size and energy scale

 our initial condition: average profiles for centrality classes of Pb+Pb at 5.02 TeV

Borghini, Borrell, Feld, Roch, Schlichting, Werthmann PRC 107 (2023), 034905

for fixed profile, vary  $\hat{\gamma}$  via  $\eta/s$ :  $\hat{\gamma} \approx 11 \cdot (4\pi\eta/s)^{-1}$ 





#### Time evolution in different systems





•  $\operatorname{Re}^{-1} = \left(\frac{6\pi^{\mu\nu}\pi_{\mu\nu}}{e^2}\right)^{1/2}$  measures

relative size of non-equilibrium effects

- equilibration timescale strongly depends on opacity; smaller systems do not equilibrate before transverse expansion
- elliptic flow on similar timescales; continuously varying strength of response

# Hydrodynamic results





- caveat: even at large opacities, naive hydrodynamics does not accurately describe pre-equilibrium
  - anisotropic flow: inhomogeneous cooling decreases eccentricities

this can be counteracted:

- scaled hydro uses modified initial condition
- hybrid simulations: switching from kinetic theory to hydrodynamics after Re<sup>-1</sup> has dropped to a specific value

# Comparison of improved hydro schemes with kin. theory





- $\blacktriangleright$  naive hydro is off; improved schemes in perfect agreement at large  $\hat{\gamma}$
- scaled hydro accurate if  $\hat{\gamma} \gtrsim 4$
- Hybrid kin. theory scheme can improve on scaled hydro at intermediate opacities



Characterize dynamics by first times certain criteria are met:



hydro applicable for  ${
m Re^{-1}} \lesssim 0.75$ 

• transverse expansion sets in:  $u_{\perp} \sim 0.1$ 

# Regime of applicability of hydrodynamics





▶ transverse expansion sets in at  $\tau_{\rm Exp} \sim 0.2R$ , independent of opacity

- Hydro applicable when  $\text{Re}^{-1} < \text{Re}_c^{-1} \sim 0.75$
- $\hat{\gamma} \lesssim 3$  hydrodynamization only after transv. Expansion (if at all)



Taking the criterion of  $\hat{\gamma} \gtrsim 3$  seriously, what does this mean for the applicability of hydrodynamics to "real-life" collisions?

$$p + p: \hat{\gamma} \sim 0.7 \left(\frac{\eta/s}{0.16}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{R}{0.12 \text{ fm}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{dE_{\perp}^{(0)}/d\eta}{7.1 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{eff}}}{42.25}\right)^{-1/4}$$

far from hydrodynamic benaviour

p + Pb : 
$$\hat{\gamma} \sim 1.5 \left(\frac{\eta/s}{0.16}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{R}{0.81 \,\mathrm{fm}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\perp}^{(0)}/\mathrm{d}\eta}{24 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{eff}}}{42.25}\right)^{-1/4} \stackrel{\mathrm{high mult.}}{\lesssim} 2.7$$

very high multiplicity events approach regime of applicability, but do not reach it

$$O + O: \hat{\gamma} \sim 2.2 \left(\frac{\eta/s}{0.16}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{R}{1.13 \text{ fm}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\perp}^{(0)}/\mathrm{d}\eta}{55 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{eff}}}{42.25}\right)^{-1/4} \sim \frac{70 - 80\%}{1.4} - \frac{0 - 5\%}{3.1}$$
probes transition region to hydrodynamic behaviour

$$\begin{array}{l} Pb + Pb: \ \hat{\gamma} \sim 5.7 \ \left(\frac{\eta/s}{0.16}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{R}{2.78 \, \text{fm}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{dE_{\perp}^{(0)}/d\eta}{1280 \, \text{GeV}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{eff}}}{42.25}\right)^{-1/4} \sim \frac{70 - 80\%}{2.7} - \frac{0.5\%}{9.0} \\ \text{hydrodynamic behaviour in all but peripheral collisions} \end{array}$$



- kinetic theory description of transverse flow on whole range in system size
- $\blacktriangleright$  comparison to hydrodynamics: accurate at 5% level if  ${
  m Re}^{-1} \lesssim 0.75$
- in small systems (p+p, p+Pb) transverse expansion is faster than equilibration; hydro not applicable!
  - $\blacksquare$  O+O covers transition regime to hydro behaviour

Backup



In theoretical descriptions:

$$v_n = \kappa_{n,n} \cdot \epsilon_n$$

- Flow can be compared to experiment
- Response depends on the dynamical model
- Initial state geometry is poorly constrained in small systems

Varying initial condition in order to fit flow measurements will mask inaccuracies in the description of the dynamical response!



 in Bjorken flow, equilibration happens in very similar ways across different model descriptions:



Giacalone, Mazeliauskas, Schlichting, PRL 123 (2019) 262301

CRC-1

### Early time longitudinal cooling and scaled hydro







#### accuracy depends on timescale separation of pre-equilibrium and transv. expansion





- Iongitudinal boost-invariant Bjorken flow exhibits universal behaviour
- ► time evolution curves converge to an attractor curve when expressed via the scaling variable  $\tilde{w} = \frac{T\tau}{4\pi\eta/s}$ ⇒ expressed via universal scaling functions  $\chi(\tilde{w}) = p_L/p_T, \quad \mathcal{E}(\tilde{w}) \propto \tau^{4/3} e, \quad f_{E_\perp}(\tilde{w}) \propto \tau^{1/3} \frac{dE_\perp}{dy}, \dots$

Giacalone, Mazeliauskas, Schlichting, PRL 123 (2019) 262301



Ambruș, Bazzanini, Gabbana, Simeoni, Succi, Tripicione, arXiv:2201.09277



#### Early time eccentricity decrease



- ▶  $\tau \ll R$ : no transverse expansion, system locally behaves like 0+1D Bjorken flow
  - universal attractor curve scaling in the variable  $\tilde{w}(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \frac{T(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp})\tau}{4\pi\eta/s}$ Giacalone, Mazeliauskas, Schlichting, PRL 123 (2019) 262301

$$\tilde{w} \gg 1$$
:  $\tau^{4/3}e = \text{const.}, \ \tau^{1/3}\frac{dE_{\perp}}{dy} = \text{const.}$ 

 $\blacksquare ~ \tilde{w} \ll 1$ : model dependent power law  $\tau^{4/3} e \sim \tilde{w}^{\gamma}$ 



inhomogeneous cooling changes energy density profile

# Early Time Bjorken Scaling



Bjorken flow universal attractor curve in scaling variable  $\tilde{w}(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \frac{T(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp})\tau}{4\pi\eta/s}$ :

$$\begin{split} \epsilon(\tau)\tau^{4/3} &= (4\pi\eta/s)^{4/9}a^{1/9}(\epsilon\tau)_0^{8/9} \ C_\infty \ \mathcal{E}(\tilde{w}) \ , \\ \tau^{1/3}\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\perp}}{d^2\mathbf{x}_{\perp}\mathrm{d}\eta} &= (4\pi\eta/s)^{4/9}a^{1/9}(\epsilon\tau)_0^{8/9} \ C_\infty \ f_{E_{\perp}}(\tilde{w}) \end{split}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  using  $\epsilon = a T^4$ , recast first eq. into self consistency eq. for  $\tilde{w}$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  use this togehter with initial cond. for  $\epsilon au$  to relate differentials of  $\mathrm{d} ilde{w}$  and  $\mathrm{d}x_{\perp}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  integrate second equation to find scaling of  ${
  m d} E_{\perp}/{
  m d} \eta$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad & \text{use } \frac{(4\pi\eta/s)^4 a}{dE_{\perp}^0/d\eta} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{4\pi}{5\hat{\gamma}}\right)^4 \text{ to identify } \hat{\gamma} \\ & \frac{dE_{\perp}/d\eta}{dE_{\perp}^0/d\eta} = \frac{9}{2} \left(\frac{4\pi}{5\hat{\gamma}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{R}{\tau}\right)^3 \int_0^{\tilde{w}(\tau,\mathbf{x}_{\perp}=0)} \frac{\tilde{w}^3 d\tilde{w}}{\mathcal{E}(\tilde{w})} \left[1 - \frac{\tilde{w}}{4} \frac{\mathcal{E}'(\tilde{w})}{\mathcal{E}(\tilde{w})}\right] f_{E_{\perp}}(\tilde{w}) , \\ & \tilde{w}(\tau,\mathbf{x}_{\perp}=0) = \left(\frac{5\hat{\gamma}}{4\pi}\right)^{8/9} \left(\frac{\tau}{R}\right)^{2/3} [C_{\infty}\mathcal{E}(\tilde{w})]^{1/4} \end{aligned}$$

Limits of this scaling law:

$$\hat{\gamma} \left(\frac{\tau}{R}\right)^{3/4} \ll 1 \Rightarrow \tilde{w} \ll 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\tilde{w}) \approx f_{E_{\perp}}(\tilde{w}) \approx C_{\infty}^{-1} \tilde{w}^{4/9} \Rightarrow \frac{dE_{\perp}/d\eta}{dE_{\perp}^{0}/d\eta} = 1$$

$$\hat{\gamma}^{3/4} \left(\frac{\tau}{R}\right) \gg 1 \Rightarrow \tilde{w} \gg 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\tilde{w}) \approx 1, f_{E_{\perp}} \approx \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dE_{\perp}/d\eta}{dE_{\perp}^{0}/d\eta} = \frac{9\pi}{32} \left(\frac{4\pi}{5\hat{\gamma}}\right)^{4/9} \left(\frac{R}{\tau}\right)^{1/3} C_{\infty}$$

### Centrality dependence









# Hydrodynamization in viscosity and centrality dependence





• transverse expansion sets in at  $\tau_{\perp} \sim 0.2R$ , independent of opacity

▶ Hydro appicable when  $\text{Re}^{-1} < \text{Re}_c^{-1} \sim 0.75$  after timescale

$$\tau_{\rm Hydro}/R \approx 1.53 \ \hat{\gamma}^{-4/3} \ \left[ ({\sf Re}_c^{-1})^{-3/2} - 1.21 ({\sf Re}_c^{-1})^{0.7} \right]$$

• hydrodynamization before transv. Expansion for  $\hat{\gamma} \gtrsim 3$