

Subject: Prompt Response Needed: Query PETROVIC [REDACTED]
From: prx@aps.org
Date: 6/15/2021, 1:48 PM
To: petrovic@bnl.gov

Re: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Dr. Petrovic,

Greetings from Long Island!

This is a special request from the editors of PRX for your help in the case of the above manuscript, which has been submitted to Physical Review X, to advise us *****quickly***** and *****informally***** in the capacity of an ad hoc Editorial Board member regarding the novelty and importance of the paper. Please note this is *****not***** a formal referral request. We have received permission from the editors of PRM to contact you.

Based on your reading, do you believe that this paper is a fundamentally new, exciting, and highly KEY contribution to the current studies of magnetoresistance?

Do you think that this paper satisfies the PRX criteria and definitely should be reviewed externally? Please advise us. A few comments that expand on your answers to the above questions will be most instructive and helpful to us. You can indicate whether you wish your informal comments to be made known to either the authors or referees with or without your name revealed.

And, if you believe that the paper should be reviewed by experts, would you be willing to serve as a referee? We will send a formal review request for that purpose as soon as we learn of your intention.

Otherwise, your suggestions for suitable reviewers will be most helpful and greatly appreciated.

I look forward to hearing from you, and learning more about this topic soon.

Yours sincerely,

Yiming Xu, Ph.D.
Associate Editor
Physical Review X
Email: prx@aps.org
[https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://journals.aps.org/prx/__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!SpY7Q4uRbY2n75MG5TMvd7aqjJtcdD891iXkdWQoSOC46S0hu1ZKivv0gckbJXc-\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://journals.aps.org/prx/__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!SpY7Q4uRbY2n75MG5TMvd7aqjJtcdD891iXkdWQoSOC46S0hu1ZKivv0gckbJXc-$)

NEWS FROM THE PHYSICAL REVIEW JOURNALS

Eight Physical Review journals introduce Letters, retire Rapid Communications
[https://urldefense.com/v3/__http://go.aps.org/3pWr1US__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!SpY7Q4uRbY2n75MG5TMvd7aqjJtcdD891iXkdWQoSOC46S0hu1ZKivv0gar1WDLu\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/__http://go.aps.org/3pWr1US__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!SpY7Q4uRbY2n75MG5TMvd7aqjJtcdD891iXkdWQoSOC46S0hu1ZKivv0gar1WDLu$)

Promoting Inclusive and Respectful Communications
[https://urldefense.com/v3/__http://go.aps.org/2IU1psq__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!SpY7Q4uRbY2n75MG5TMvd7aqjJtcdD891iXkdWQoSOC46S0hu1ZKivv0gVloG_F1\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/__http://go.aps.org/2IU1psq__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!SpY7Q4uRbY2n75MG5TMvd7aqjJtcdD891iXkdWQoSOC46S0hu1ZKivv0gVloG_F1$)

Subject: Review_request PETROVIC [REDACTED]

From: prl@aps.org

Date: 2/13/2020, 1:35 PM

To: petrovic@bnl.gov

Re: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Dr. Petrovic,

We would appreciate your review of this submission to PRL. Please let us know promptly if you will be able to review and the time scale.

This might be publishable science, but does it meet the Physical Review Letters criteria of impact, innovation, and interest? To be publishable in PRL a paper must do at least one of the following: Substantially advance a particular field; open a significant new area of research; solve a critical outstanding problem and therefore pave the way for notable progress in an existing field; be of singular appeal to all physicists. Please comment on this in your report.

If a paper is scientifically valid but there is no strong reason for publication specifically in PRL then the referee report should recommend resubmission to a specialized journal. (See editorial [https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://journals.aps.org/prl/edannounce/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.230001__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!Xh-nFXarzkKRdPeNtZB6WQN0030T6amXdNuS8QJQvaXwhq96Vz7wMcIOT1iJ1hE5\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://journals.aps.org/prl/edannounce/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.230001__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!Xh-nFXarzkKRdPeNtZB6WQN0030T6amXdNuS8QJQvaXwhq96Vz7wMcIOT1iJ1hE5$))

Please download the manuscript and return your report via: [https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://referees.aps.org/r/LP16222__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!Xh-nFXarzkKRdPeNtZB6WQN0030T6amXdNuS8QJQvaXwhq96Vz7wMcIOTyDGhpW3\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://referees.aps.org/r/LP16222__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!Xh-nFXarzkKRdPeNtZB6WQN0030T6amXdNuS8QJQvaXwhq96Vz7wMcIOTyDGhpW3$)

Comments from the editor:

Supplemental Material associated with this manuscript is available via our referee server.

Thank you for your help.

Yours sincerely,

Alessandro S. Villar
Associate Editor
Physical Review Letters
Email: prl@aps.org

[https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://journals.aps.org/prl/__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!Xh-nFXarzkKRdPeNtZB6WQN0030T6amXdNuS8QJQvaXwhq96Vz7wMcIOTy71sfyt\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://journals.aps.org/prl/__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!Xh-nFXarzkKRdPeNtZB6WQN0030T6amXdNuS8QJQvaXwhq96Vz7wMcIOTy71sfyt$)

In celebration of the 50th Anniversary of Physical Review A, B, C, and D, APS is offering 50% off open access article publication charges (APCs) in all hybrid journals for papers submitted during the 2020 calendar year. Additionally, Physical Review Research will continue to waive APCs through June 30, 2020. For details about APC pricing, see [https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://journals.aps.org/authors/apcs__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!Xh-nFXarzkKRdPeNtZB6WQN0030T6amXdNuS8QJQvaXwhq96Vz7wMcIOT3pRko6Z\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://journals.aps.org/authors/apcs__;!!P4SdNyxKAPE!Xh-nFXarzkKRdPeNtZB6WQN0030T6amXdNuS8QJQvaXwhq96Vz7wMcIOT3pRko6Z$) .

We ask that you download the manuscript and return your report via:

Subject: Nature Materials - Review of NM14092644C is almost due
From: <luke.cridland@nature.com>
Date: 5/26/2015, 1:27 PM
To: <petrovic@bnl.gov>

26th May 2015

Dear Dr Petrovic,

I am writing to confirm that you have received the instructions to review the manuscript "Pressure-induced Superconductivity in the Iron-based Ladder Material BaFe₂S₃" by Prof Takahashi and colleagues, sent to you recently by Pep Pàmies.

In rare cases there are problems associated with downloading and/or printing the manuscript PDF files, so we wanted to make sure that you were able to successfully access all of the material.

To view the manuscript and prepare your review, click on the link below:

<http://mts-nm.nature.com/cgi-bin/main.plex?el=A2D5QaU5D1eje6F1A9ftdr0W98NpwjleCwsRbZOzfAZ>

Your review is due on 1st June 2015. If you are unable to meet the proposed due date, or you are having any difficulties with the files, please let us know as soon as possible.

We look forward to receiving your comments on this work in due course.

Yours sincerely,

Luke Cridland

--

Luke Cridland
Editorial assistant
Nature Materials

This email has been sent through the NPG Manuscript Tracking System NY-610A-NPG&MTS

Confidentiality Statement:

This e-mail is confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorised use or disclosure of its contents is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify our Manuscript Tracking System Helpdesk team at <http://platformsupport.nature.com>.

Details of the confidentiality and pre-publicity policy may be found here <http://www.nature.com>

Subject: Review assignment for Advanced Electronic Materials (a [REDACTED]) is due soon
From: Advanced Electronic Materials <em@editorialmanager.com>
Date: 11/27/2014, 12:42 AM
To: "C. Petrovic" <petrovic@bnl.gov>

Dear Dr. Petrovic,

We are writing to remind you that your review of manuscript number [REDACTED] is due by 29 Nov 2014.

You can view your pending reviewer assignments for Advanced Electronic Materials by following this link:

<http://advelectronicmat.edmgr.com/1.asp?i=17344&l=BOZXOAHX>

If this link does not work, please submit your report by logging into <http://advelectronicmat.edmgr.com/> using your username (cpetrovic) and password, using the Reviewer Login option.

The details of the manuscript are:

Advanced Electronic Materials: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Dr [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

To download the paper now, please click this link: <http://advelectronicmat.edmgr.com/1.asp?i=17345&l=HFC17BV7>

If you are able to review this manuscript but will require more time, please contact the editorial office.

Kind regards,

The Editorial Office
Advanced Electronic Materials

--
Advanced Electronic Materials
E-mail: advelectronicmat@wiley.com
Tel: +49(0)6201-606-581/235

<http://www.advelectronicmat.de/>

Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA - A company of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. - Location of the Company: Weinheim - Trade Register: Mannheim, HRB 432833.
Chairman of the Supervisory Board: Stephen Michael Smith. General Partner: John Wiley & Sons GmbH, Location: Weinheim - Trade Register Mannheim, HRB 432296 -

Subject: Refereeing Manuscript a [REDACTED] for ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS
From: afm@Wiley-VCH.de
Date: 3/21/2007, 12:42 PM
To: petrovic@bnl.gov
CC: afm@Wiley-VCH.de

Subject: Refereeing Manuscript adfm. [REDACTED] for ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS

ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS

Manuscript number: [REDACTED]

MS-Type: Full Paper Title: "C [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
"

Correspondence Author: [REDACTED]

PLEASE ANSWER BY: 04.04.2007

Dear Dr. Petrovic,

The above-mentioned manuscript has been submitted for publication as a Full Paper in ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS. We would be very grateful if you would help us form a balanced opinion of the scientific quality of the manuscript.

A PDF of the manuscript may be found on your personal referee homepage (see below for further details). IMPORTANT: Please note that for technical reasons it may take some minutes after receipt of this message for the manuscript to appear on your personal homepage.

ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS is the Full-Paper sister journal to Advanced Materials. Since 2001, it has published longer, more in-depth papers on the same topics and for the same audience as Advanced Materials. The scientific quality of the paper should be equivalent to that expected for Advanced Materials.

The findings reported in a Full Paper should, because of their significance, novelty, or wide applicability, be of general interest to the broad and heterogeneous readership of ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS. They must, at the very least, make a significant contribution to the development of an important field of materials research.

ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS currently receives five times the number of papers it can publish. As we are keen to publish only the best papers, I encourage you to be critical in your appraisal of the manuscript. Only papers judged to be very important or important should be recommended for acceptance, papers considered to be less important or unimportant should not be recommended. Please be as detailed in your response as possible, whether you are in support of publication or not.

The length constraints of a Full Paper are in general 15-20 pages double line-spaced text, plus 9-12 figures, plus references and legends.

To submit your report, please use your personal referee homepage at

<http://www.manuscriptXpress.com>

(see below for further details)

You may be assured that we will keep your identity as a referee anonymous, however, we shall inform the authors of some or all of your comments, especially if you advise that the manuscript be rejected, or that it be revised or expanded. Should you require further detailed information from the author, we shall arrange this for you immediately.

As we are interested in responding quickly to all our authors, we request that you review the article within 14 days of receipt. Should this not be possible, please inform us and recommend any other pertinent referees. We thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Subject: Manuscript [REDACTED] for Review
From: chemater@chem.ucdavis.edu
Date: 2/27/2007, 2:19 PM
To: petrovic@bnl.gov
CC: chemater@chem.ucdavis.edu

Dear Dr. Petrovic:

I hope you will be able to review the following manuscript for Chemistry of Materials:

[REDACTED] by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Please note that, due to the large number of papers that we are receiving currently, and our intent to publish only the results of "forefront research" in materials chemistry, we are now asking authors to provide clear evidence, in their paper and in their cover letter for a "significant advance" in the particular area of materials chemistry concerned. This new criterion for publication is in addition to the various other criteria for publication that are noted on the review form.

If you agree to review this manuscript, I ask that you return your comments to me by 20-Mar-2007. If you are unable to review this manuscript for any reason, please let me know as soon as possible by electronic mail, and if possible, please provide the names of other reviewers you may wish to suggest.

Detailed instructions for online review and options for submitting reviews by mail or fax are listed below. If you prefer that a hardcopy of the manuscript be mailed to you, you may respond to this message, requesting a hardcopy . (There is also a mechanism on the review site that allows you to request a hardcopy manuscript.)

If you encounter any difficulties with the online review process, please contact the ACS Help Desk at JournalHelp@acs.org.

Susan M. Kauzlarich Editor Department of Chemistry University of California Chemistry of Materials One Shields Avenue Davis, CA 95616-5295 USA Telephone number: 530-752-1896 Fax number: 530-752-1952 Email address: chemater@chem.ucdavis.edu

Instructions for Submission of Online Review: For each review you will receive a unique URL and security key. Please do not bookmark the URL below.

1. Go to <https://paragon.acs.org/review?rid=cxp%20k001&ms=cm070499x>
2. Enter the following security key: [REDACTED]
3. Select "Get Manuscript" to view and print the manuscript and Supporting Information. (If you print out the manuscript, please destroy it after you have completed your review, unless you wish to return an annotated version for the editor's attention or for transmittal to the author(s)).
4. Select "Submit Review" to access and complete the reviewer form. In addition to completing the questions on the review form, please provide an in depth narrative review using the text box on the review form or by attaching a file containing your review. You can also submit confidential comments that you do not want communicated to the author. Upon request, you will receive a copy of your review.

If the URL provided above does not work, please go to <http://paragon.acs.org/review> to begin your review. You will need the following information:

Reviewer ID: [REDACTED]
Manuscript #: [REDACTED] (a manuscript number always starts with two-letter journal ID and is followed by six digits and one additional character)
Security Key: [REDACTED]
If the submission is a hardcopy submission, then the security key is not required.

Other Options for Submission of a Review:

** If you prefer that a hardcopy of a manuscript be mailed to you, you may contact the editorial office by responding to this email. There is also a mechanism in the "Get Manuscript" site that allows you to request a hardcopy.

Subject: [REDACTED] Invitation to Review Manuscript 13-Jan-2017
From: Journal of the American Chemical Society <onbehalfof+jacs+chem.psu.edu@manuscriptcentral.com>
Date: 1/13/2017, 8:41 AM
To: <petrovic@bnl.gov>
CC: <jacs@chem.psu.edu>

13-Jan-2017

RE: Journal of the American Chemical Society Manuscript Review
Manuscript ID: [REDACTED]
Manuscript Type: Article
Manuscript Title: [REDACTED]

Author(s): [REDACTED]

Dear Dr. Petrovic:

This manuscript has been submitted for publication in the Journal of the American Chemical Society. The Abstract for this manuscript is available at the end of this message. We would greatly appreciate if you would agree to review this manuscript for JACS.

For your convenience, the hyperlinks below can be used to accept or decline this invitation automatically:

To automatically respond click below:

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

Agreed: https://acs.manuscriptcentral.com/acs-ja?URL_MASK=e20852b0c49b4fb4b91f2006bff58c41

Declined: https://acs.manuscriptcentral.com/acs-ja?URL_MASK=a9ad742061df49b38bb28db8ad8a9c3f

If you are unable to review this manuscript, you will be provided with the opportunity to suggest alternative reviewers. This information is optional but if you can provide alternative reviewers with their email address, that would be most helpful.

For the convenience of our authors, ACS offers a manuscript transfer service. If this manuscript is not suitable for Journal of the American Chemical Society, I may suggest the author consider a transfer to another ACS journal. If the author accepts the offer of transfer, your review of the manuscript will also be transferred. Please be assured that your review will be handled with the same confidentiality in the next ACS journal as in Journal of the American Chemical Society.

Please note that you can now update your "Areas of Expertise" in your ACS Paragon Plus account. If you have not already done so, we would very much appreciate it if you would update this information.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Mallouk
Associate Editor
Journal of the American Chemical Society
Phone: 814-865-4320
Fax: 202-513-8666
Email: jacs@chem.psu.edu

Subject: Manuscript [REDACTED] Assigned for Review
From: Nano Letters <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>
Date: 12/14/2018, 3:10 AM
To: <petrovic@bnl.gov>

14-Dec-2018

RE: Nano Letters Manuscript Review

Manuscript ID: [REDACTED]

Manuscript Title: [REDACTED]

Author(s): [REDACTED]

Dear Dr. Petrovic:

This manuscript has been submitted for publication in the Nano Letters. The Abstract for this manuscript is available at the end of this message. We would greatly appreciate if you would agree to review this manuscript for Nano Letters.

The hyperlinks below can be used to accept or decline this invitation automatically.

To automatically respond click below:

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

Agreed: https://acs.manuscriptcentral.com/acs-nl?URL_MASK=11941602358a4872b7e00a6bea4830c3

Declined: https://acs.manuscriptcentral.com/acs-nl?URL_MASK=84e082c314a340a685fa925ff8eafeb2

If you are unable to review this manuscript, you will be provided with the opportunity to suggest alternative reviewers. This information is optional, but if you can provide alternative reviewers with their email address, that would be most helpful.

For the convenience of our authors, ACS offers a manuscript transfer service. If this manuscript is not suitable for Nano Letters, I may suggest the author consider a transfer to another ACS journal. If the author accepts the offer of transfer, your review of the manuscript will also be transferred. Please be assured that your review will be handled with the same confidentiality in the next ACS journal as in Nano Letters.

With kind regards,

Prof. Klaus Ensslin
Associate Editor
Nano Letters
Phone: +1(215)2535-669
Fax: 1-202-559-0855
Email: ensslin-office@nanolett.acs.org

Manuscript Abstract for nl-2018-[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Strictly confidential invitation to review a manuscript for Nature Reviews Physics
From: natrevphys@nature.com
Date: 6/25/2020, 6:37 AM
To: petrovic@bnl.gov

Dear Dr. Petrovic,

Manuscript number: NATREVPHYS-XXXXXXXXXX
Title: Quantum phases driven by strong correlations
Authors: Silke Paschen and Qimiao Si

The above Review has recently been submitted to Nature Reviews Physics. As an expert in this field, I would like to invite you to act as a referee. The abstract of the Review is included at the end of this email for your information.

Nature Reviews Physics is an online-only journal that launched in January 2019 and publishes Reviews, Perspectives and Roadmaps across the whole spectrum of physics.

We are keen to ensure that the review process is both rigorous and swift; therefore, if you accept this invitation I would hope to receive your detailed comments within 10 days.

If you could respond within the next few days to let me know whether or not you are willing and able to act as a referee for the above Review, I would be very grateful.

If you are unable to act as a referee within this timescale, but are available to referee it soon afterwards, please let me know.

If you are unable to review this paper, we would be grateful if you could suggest potential alternative reviewers, keeping in mind that Nature-branded journals strive toward an equitable demographic representation within our reviewer pool, for example, with respect to gender and geography. More information about our commitment to diversity can be found [here](#).

We use an online peer-review system, so please reply by clicking on the following link.

<https://mts-natrevphys.nature.com/cgi-bin/main.plex?el=A5Cy7d5A4BQh3F2A9ftdu7qWMiKx68tXTrScAG8zgZ>

You should let us know if, on initial inspection and despite our efforts to avoid it, you realize that you have a competing or financial interest in the article's content. For further guidance, please take a look at our [competing interests policy](#). We ask all referees to inform us of any interest that might be perceived as relevant. The content of this article must be kept in strict confidence, and you should not make use of it in your own research before it is published. In the interest of transparency, we are asking authors to declare any financial and non-financial competing interests. We hope this will aid in your evaluation of the paper.

I hope to hear from you soon.

Best wishes,

Dr. Ankita Anirban
Associate Editor
Nature Reviews Physics

* * * * *

It has long been thought that strongly correlated systems are adiabatically connected to their noninteracting counterpart. Recent developments have demonstrated the fallacy of this traditional notion in a variety of settings. Here we use a class of strongly correlated electron systems as a platform to illustrate the kind of quantum phases and fluctuations that are created by strong correlations. Examples are quantum critical states that violate the Fermi liquid paradigm, unconventional superconductivity that goes beyond the BCS framework, and topological semimetals induced by Kondo entanglement. We assess the prospect of designing other exotic phases of matter, by utilizing alternative degrees of freedom or alternative interactions, and point to the potential of these correlated states for quantum technology.

Please note that your contact details are being held on our editorial databases which are used only for the management of the peer review process, and we might contact you in relation to Nature Research journals other than this one when your expertise is appropriate. If you would prefer us not to contact you in the future please let us know by emailing natrevphys@nature.com.

COVID 19 and impact on peer review

As a result of the significant disruption that is being caused by the COVID-19 pandemic we are very aware that many researchers will have difficulty in meeting the timelines associated with our peer review process during normal times. Please do let us know if you need additional time. Our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines but we intend to be highly flexible at this time.

This email has been sent through the Springer Nature Tracking System NY-610A-NPG&MTS

Confidentiality Statement:

This e-mail is confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorised use or disclosure of its contents is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify our Manuscript Tracking System Helpdesk team at <http://platformsupport.nature.com>.

Details of the confidentiality and pre-publicity policy may be found here <http://www.nature.com/authors/policies/confidentiality.html>

[Privacy Policy](#) | [Update Profile](#)

Subject: CONFIDENTIAL; request to review Nature Communications manuscript NCOMMS-20-
From: <richard.brierley@nature.com>
Date: 4/16/2020, 4:52 PM
To: <petrovic@bnl.gov>

Dear Dr Petrovic,

A manuscript has been submitted to Nature Communications, which we were hoping you would be interested in reviewing. The manuscript comes from Prof [redacted] colleagues and is entitled "[redacted]"; the abstract is appended below.

We would hope to receive your comments within 21 days. We have recently doubled our deadlines because we are aware that the current global public health crisis is disrupting the work of many of our authors and reviewers. If you would like to assist, but would need more than 21 days to review the manuscript, please do not hesitate to let me know.

IMPORTANT

Nature Communications uses a transparent peer review system for new manuscripts submitted from January 2016. If the manuscript is accepted, the authors may agree for the reviewer comments to the authors as well as the author rebuttal letters to be published as a supplementary file associated with the paper. Any confidential comments between you and the editor will not be published. By submitting a reviewer report you agree to the publication of the comments made to the authors. Unless you sign the report with your name in those comments to the authors, we will respect and maintain your full anonymity. For more information, please refer to our FAQ page at <https://media.nature.com/full/nature-assets/ncomms/authors/ncomms-transparent-peer-review.pdf>

To respond to our request, please use the following link:

<https://mts-ncomms.nature.com/cgi-bin/main.plex?el=A7S2BXC5A3CvWi4J7A9ftdAxqt7BqmXD0FxuT5eeIkhAZ>

>From there, simply follow the link to manuscript NCOMMS-2 [redacted] where you will be able to view general manuscript information followed by options to accept or decline our request.

If you are unable to help on this occasion, we would appreciate any suggestions for alternative reviewers, keeping in mind that Nature journals strive toward a diverse demographic representation within our reviewer database, for example, with respect to gender and geography – perhaps someone in your own laboratory might be suitably qualified?

Please note that on a case by case basis we coordinate a brief consultation between referees and editors after all referee reports have been received. This is to improve the peer review process and the feedback provided to authors. If we decide to submit this paper to this process, you will be given the opportunity to make comments on your peers' concerns and potentially update your report to comment on the issues raised by the other reviewers before we send a final decision. We may therefore contact you after we receive your review to ask you for further feedback. If you would prefer not to participate in this process, please let me know.

Many thanks in advance for your help; I look forward to hearing from you. Please don't hesitate to contact me by replying to this e-mail if you have any questions.

Best regards,

Richard

Dr Richard Brierley
Senior Editor
Nature Communications
orcid.org/0000-0002-0041-329X

[redacted]

[redacted]

Subject: NPJQUANTMATS- [REDACTED] Invitation to Review npj Quantum Materials
From: <npjquantmats@nature.com>
Date: 6/2/2018, 12:30 AM
To: <petrovic@bnl.gov>
CC: <hhwen@nju.edu.cn>

Dear Dr Petrovic,

I am writing to invite you to review a manuscript for *npj Quantum Materials*. The manuscript is entitled [REDACTED] and comes from Prof [REDACTED] and colleagues; the abstract and author list are appended below. We would hope to receive your comments within 2 weeks from now, though if you require a few extra days then do let us know.

Part of the Nature Partner Journals series, *npj Quantum Materials* is a Nature Research journal published by Springer Nature in partnership with Nanjing University. The journal is open access and reports high-quality research findings that significantly extend understanding of quantum materials, including their fundamental properties, fabrication and applications (full aims and scope can be seen at the journal website: <https://www.nature.com/npjquantmats/about/aims>). As such, incremental extensions of existing works will not be considered for publication, and in order to meet these high standards we rely on the expert opinions of our reviewers.

To view the manuscript information, and to accept or decline this invitation, please use the link below (please click on the link or cut and paste the entire link into a new browser window. If the link is split between two or more lines, you will need to copy and paste each line of the link separately into a new browser window, making sure that no characters have been omitted).

<http://mts-npjquantmats.nature.com/cgi-bin/main.plex?el=A4Tq3Gt7A1EH6F1A9ftdUfvHzoQdjIGtviQMZFXyQZ>

If you accept this invitation you will be given online access to the manuscript files, instructions for referees, and the form to upload your report. We also hope that you would be willing to assess any revised versions of this paper.

Your prompt response to this request would be greatly appreciated, as is any time that you are able to spare us.

Yours sincerely,

Hai-Hu Wen
Associate Editor
npj Quantum Materials
<http://www.nature.com/npjquantmats/>

Steven Kivelson & Sang-Wook Cheong
Editors-in-Chief

Please note that your contact details are being held on our editorial databases which are used only for the management of the peer review process, and we might contact you in relation to Nature Research journals other than this one when your expertise is appropriate. If you would prefer us not to contact you in the future please let us know by emailing npjquantmats@nature.com.

Author list

[REDACTED]

Manuscript abstract

[REDACTED]

This email has been sent through the Springer Nature Tracking System [REDACTED]

Confidentiality Statement:

This e-mail is confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorised use or disclosure of its contents is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify our Manuscript Tracking System Helpdesk team at [Platform Support Helpdesk](#).

Details of the confidentiality and pre-publicity policy may be found here <http://www.nature.com/authors/policies/confidentiality.html>

[Privacy Policy](#) | [Update Profile](#)

Subject: NHMFL Users Online System: Review Requested on Proposal [REDACTED]
From: NHMFL Users Program <users@magnet.fsu.edu>
Date: 11/18/2014, 4:25 PM
To: <petrovic@bnl.gov>

Dear Dr. Petrovic,

Thank you for your willingness to serve as a proposal reviewer for the NHMFL Pulsed Field User Program. A proposal for magnet time has been received and the facility director, Jonathan Betts, kindly requests that you review it. Your time is extremely valuable, so we have tried to make the process as easy and quick as possible. If you have suggestions, we hope you will let us know.

Proposal [REDACTED] is now waiting for your review.

To access Proposal [REDACTED], click:

[https://users.magnet.fsu.edu:443/Proposals/Display.aspx?Proposa\[REDACTED\]](https://users.magnet.fsu.edu:443/Proposals/Display.aspx?Proposa[REDACTED])

After login, note the "Actions" box on the right, then:

- * Click "Download" to open and review the proposal.
- * Click "Add Review" to grade the proposal and add a brief comment.
- * Click "Add Review" to complete your review or "Cancel" to return to previous screen.

If you have questions or concerns about the proposal, don't hesitate to contact Jonathan Betts at jbbetts@lanl.gov.

Once again, thank you for your important service on behalf of the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory and its users.

If you have comments or suggestions about this online system, we would be very pleased to hear from you.

User Programs Chief of Staff, 850-644-6392
National High Magnetic Field Laboratory
1800 E. Paul Dirac Dr.
Tallahassee, FL 32312
IMsystems@magnet.fsu.edu
Web Applications Group
wag@magnet.fsu.edu

Subject: NHMFL Users Program: Review Requested on Proposal [REDACTED]
From: "NHMFL Users Program Notifications" <users-notifications-noreply@magnet.fsu.edu>
Date: 7/19/2019, 4:08 PM
To: petrovic@bnl.gov

Dear Dr. Cedomir Petrovic,

The National MagLab DC Field facility has received a proposal for magnet time and we need your expertise to help assess its scientific merit. As a member of the MagLab's user community, you understand how high the demand is for our world-record instruments and value the importance of providing access to the scientific proposals that best propel our field forward. Proposal review should only take about 30 minutes and we have simplified the system to make it as easy as possible for you. We respect that your time is valuable and hope that you will agree to serve on the review team for proposal [REDACTED]

To access and review Proposal [REDACTED] click:

[https://users.magnet.fsu.edu:443/Proposals/Display.aspx?ProposalID=\[REDACTED\]](https://users.magnet.fsu.edu:443/Proposals/Display.aspx?ProposalID=[REDACTED])

After login, note the "Actions" box on the right, then:

- * Click "Download" to open and review the proposal.
- * Click "Add Review" to grade the proposal and add a brief comment.
- * Click "Submit" to complete your review or "Cancel" to return to previous screen.

If you are unable to review this proposal within the next four weeks, please let us know by accessing the user system via [https://users.magnet.fsu.edu:443/Proposals/Display.aspx?ProposalID=\[REDACTED\]](https://users.magnet.fsu.edu:443/Proposals/Display.aspx?ProposalID=[REDACTED]) checking applicable radio button. Please do not reply to this email.

If you have questions or concerns about the proposal, do not hesitate to contact Tim Murphy at tmurphy@magnet.fsu.edu.

Once again, thank you for your important service on behalf of the National MagLab and its users.

If you have comments or suggestions about this online system, we would be very pleased to hear from you.

Users Program Chief of Staff
Tel (US): 1-850-644-6392
National High Magnetic Field Laboratory
1800 E. Paul Dirac Dr.
Tallahassee, FL 32312
users@magnet.fsu.edu
Web Applications Group
wag@magnet.fsu.edu

Subject: [REDACTED] Rev. 1
From: steger@slac.stanford.edu
Date: 12/16/2009, 1:44 PM
To: petrovic@bnl.gov

Dear Colleague:

We would appreciate your review of the attached proposal by January 15, 2010. Your review is a crucial part of the peer review process utilized to allocate beam time that is in high demand at SSRL. If you find that the proposal is not sufficient to allow a quality review, if you do not feel qualified to respond to all or particular areas of the proposal, or if you are unable to assist us at this time, please let me know. It is acceptable to solicit assistance from colleagues within your research group, providing there is no conflict of interest. If there is a potential conflict of interest or if you feel it would be inappropriate for you to provide a review, please inform me immediately.

Assuming that you agree to review this proposal, please rate the proposal based on its scientific merit, particularly the intellectual impact of the work on the field and the competency of the experimental team to conduct the research. Judge the science of the paper, and if you are familiar with synchrotron radiation, also add your input on the necessity of using the synchrotron facility to accomplish the work and appropriateness of the number of shifts requested. To ensure consistency in the review process, please use the following rating terms and criteria:

Excellent: Important research that has a good chance of producing a major contribution to fundamental knowledge or an important technological development. An outstanding investigator and a well-chosen problem. Should be given highest priority for beam time.

Very Good: A skilled investigator and a worthwhile problem. Research that can be expected to lead to substantial advances in fundamental knowledge or technology. Should receive beam time if at all possible.

Good: A competent investigator and a reasonable problem, but less than forefront. A good problem, but not well matched to the investigator's skills or experience. Beam time should be considered only after proposals rated Excellent or Very Good have received time.

Fair: Significant deficiencies appear either in the quality of the proposal or in the investigator's qualifications. Successful completion of the research is doubtful. Should probably not receive beam time.

Poor: Major scientific blunders and/or investigator is known to be incompetent. Should not receive beam time.

Using the above criteria to review this proposal, simply reply to this message by January 15, 2010 including the following:

- 1) Rating for the overall desirability of this proposal (Excellent, Very Good, Good, Fair, Poor);
- 2) Rating for the competency of the experimenters (Excellent, Very Good, Good, Fair, Poor);
- 3) Summary of your comments related to this proposal (your comments are very helpful in ranking the proposal and for providing useful feedback to proposal authors);
- 4) Are there technical questions that you feel remain to be answered? If yes, please include your questions, and let us know if a response is essential before this proposal could be reviewed and approved;
- 5) Are there safety concerns to be answered? If yes, please describe.

Scientific proposals are confidential, so please delete this file after you have reviewed it.

We are very conscious of the demands on your time and sincerely appreciate your assistance in reviewing this proposal. The peer review process is a key element in appropriately allocating a scarce resource here at SSRL, and we thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions or require assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,