Direktoru i Komisiji za naučni rad Instituta za fiziku

Poštovani,

fikovanog prostor-vremena" angažuje studenť doktorskih studija Danijel Ôbrić, kao i da se izabere u zvanje istraživač pripravnik. Da napomenem, u oktobru ove godine tj. prošle predlažem da se u Institutu za fiziku na projektu ON171031 "Fizičke implikacije modinedelje u penziju je otišao član projekta ON171031 dr Branislav Sazdović.

du, istraživački smer, u septembru 2016. sa prosečnom ovenom 8.16. Master studije fizike tativnost i neasocijativnost zatvorene bozonske strune" radio je pod rukovodstvom dr Bojana Nikolića iz Instituta za fiziku, a rezultati tog rada objavljeni su u časopisu Fortschritte teorije struna, kao i da je u toku master studija ostvario odlične rezultate na ispitima i veoma dobru saradnju sa mentorom, pa zato predlažem da se Danijel Obrić izabere u Danijel Obrić je završio osnovne studije fizike na Fizičkom fakultetu Univerziteta u Beograna Fizičkom fakultetu završio je 2017. sa prosečnom ocenom 10.00. Master rad "Nekomuder Physik ove godine. Mislim da Danijel ima veliki entuzijazam za istraživanje u oblasti zvanje istraživač pripravnik i angažuje na našem projektu.

Za članove komisije za izbor Danijela Obrića predlažem

1. dr Bojana Nikolića, Institut za fiziku

2. dr Ljubicu Davidović, Institut za fiziku, i

3. prof. dr Voju Radovanovića, Fizički fakultet.

Beograd, 18. oktobar 2018.

Srdačan pozdrav,

Moja Bunc

Maja Burić

šef projekta ON171031

Naučnom veću Instituta za Fiziku

Predmet: Molba za pokretanje postupka za sticanje zvanja istraživač pripravnik

S obzirom da ispunjavam sve kriterijume, propisane od strane Ministarstva prosvete, nauke i tehnološkog razvoja Republike Srbije, za sticanje zvanja istraživač pripravnik, molim Naučno veće Instituta za fiziku da pokrene postupak za moj izbor u navedeno zvanje.

U prilog dostavljam:

- mišljenje rukovodioca projekta,
- kratku stručnu biografiju,
- kratak pregled naučne aktivnosti,
- spisak objabljenih radova,
- kopije objavljenih radova,
- kopiju diplome i dodatka diplome sa osnovnih studija,
- uverenje o završenim master studijama,
- uverenje o položenim ispitima na master studijama.

U Beogradu, 08.07.2018.

Danijel Obrić

Stručna biogrfija

Danijel Obrić je rođen 1992. u Benkovcu, Hrvatskoj. Osnovnu školu je završio u Vršcu, nakon čega je završio srednju tehničku školu. Osnovne studije je završio na Fizičkom fakultetu u Beogradu 2016. na odseku za teorijsku i eksperimentalnu fiziku. Za vreme trajanja osnovnih studija, Danijel je bio stipendista Hemofarm fondacije. Nakon završetka osnovnih studija, upisuje Master studije na Fizičkom fakultetu u Beogradu sa fokusom na fiziku visokih energija i teoriju struna. Master rad "Nekomutativnost i neasocijativnost zatvorene bozonse strune" je odrađen pod mentorstvom dr Bojana Nikolića, rad je odbranjen 2017. godine.

Pregled naučne aktivnosti

Danijel Obrić se bavi izučavanjem kvantne gravitacije i njenog ujedinjena sa ostalim silama u sklopu formalizma teorije struna.

Nuačni rad kandidata tokom master studija je bio odrađen pod mentorstvom dr Bojana Nikolića. Sam rad se fokusirao na proučavanje nekomutativnosti i neasocijativnosti zatvorene bozonske stune koje se javlja usled T-dualizacije. T-dualnost je simetrija koja se prvi put uočila u teoriji struna, ona nastaje usled kompaktifikacije suvišnih prostornih dimenzija i ova simetrija nam daje ekvivalenciju izmedju teorija koje imaju različite radijuse kompaktifikovanih dimenzija. U literaturi je već bilo pozato da usled T-dualizacije teorije koje su bile definisane u geometrijskim pozadinama prelaze u teorije sa "negeometrijskim" pozadinama. Ova promena pozadinskih polja omogućava ispitivanje komutacionih relacija jedne teorije ako su nam poznate komutacione relacije druge "početne" teorije. T-dualizacija je rađena preko uopštene Bušerove procedure koja predstavlja jedan od recepata za dobijanje T-dualnog dejstva. Uopštena Bušerova procedura se zasniva na lokalizaciji simetrije duž pravca dualizacije, nakon čega se suvišni stepeni slobode uklanjaju uvodjenjem dodatnih gradijentnih polja i lagranževih množitelja. Da bi Bušerova procedura dala novu teoriju neophodno je bilo fiksirati gradijentnu simetriju. Ovakav način lokalizacije simetrije nam omogućuje da tražimo jednačine kretanja koje se dobijaju variranjem po novonastalim gradijentnim poljima a čijim ubacivanjem u početno dejstvo dobijamo T-dualno dejstvo. U radu je pokazano koje se komutacione relacije javljaju u T-dualnoj teroiji ako Kalb-Ramondovo polje početne teorije ima koordinatnu zavisnost samo od jedne ose a metrički tenzor je jedinična matrica.

Spisak naučnih radova

Objavljeni naučni radovi

B. Nikolić, **D. Obrić**, Noncommutativity and nonassociativity of closed bosonic string on T-dual toroidal backgrounds, Fortschr. Phys. 2018, 66, 1800009. DOI:10.1002/prop.201800009, ISSN:1521-3978, IF=3.263.

Odbranjen master rad

Nekomutativnost i neasocijativnost zatvorene bozonske strune, **D. Obrić**, Fizički fakultet Univerziteta u Beogradu (2017).



Noncommutativity and Nonassociativity of Closed Bosonic String on T-dual Toroidal Backgrounds

B. Nikolić* and D. Obrić

In this article we consider closed bosonic string in the presence of constant metric and Kalb-Ramond field with one non-zero component, $B_{xy} = Hz$, where field strength H is infinitesimal. Using Buscher T-duality procedure we dualize along x and y directions and using generalized T-duality procedure along z direction imposing trivial winding conditions. After first two T-dualizations we obtain Q flux theory which is just locally well defined, while after all three T-dualizations we obtain nonlocal R flux theory. Origin of non-locality is variable ΔV defined as line integral, which appears as an argument of the background fields. Rewriting T-dual transformation laws in the canonical form and using standard Poisson algebra, we obtained that Qflux theory is commutative one and the R flux theory is noncommutative and nonassociative one. Consequently, there is a correlation between non-locality and closed string noncommutativity and nonassociativity.

1. Introduction

Coordinate noncommutativity means that there exists minimal possible length, which imposes natural UV cutoff. Idea of coordinate noncommutativity is very old. Heisenberg suggested coordinate noncommutativity to solve the problem of the occurrence of infinite quantities before renormalization procedure was developed and accepted. The first scientific paper considering this subject appeared 1947^[1] where construction of discrete Lorentz invariant space-time is presented. Later in the period of 1980s A. Connes developed noncommutative geometry as a generalization of the standard commutative geometry.^[2]

Noncommutativity became again interesting for particle physicists when the paper^[3] appeared. In this article it is shown using propagators that open string endpoints in the presence of the constant metric and Kalb-Ramond field become noncommutative. D-brane on which the string endpoints are forced to move becomes noncommutative manifold. After this article many articles^[4] appeared addressing the same subject but using different approaches - Fourier expansion, canonical methods, solving of boundary conditions etc.

B. Nikolić Institute of Physics Belgrade University of Belgrade Pregrevica 118, Belgrade, Serbia E-mail: bnikolic@ipb.ac.rs D. Obrić Faculty of Physics

University of Belgrade Studentski trg 12, Belgrade, Serbia

DOI: 10.1002/prop.201800009

In the last two articles of [4] the method of solving of boundary conditions is presented. The basic idea is that open string boundary condition is treated as canonical constraint. Investigating the consistency of the canonical constraint we obtained the σ dependent form of the boundary condition. Further, we can proceed twofold: to introduce Dirac brackets or solve the constraint. Solving the constraint, we obtained the initial coordinate as a linear combination of the effective coordinate and momenta. Consequently, initial coordinates are noncommutative and the main contribution to noncomutativity parameter comes from Kalb-Ramond field as it was expected.

Following the result of the article^[5] it can be proven that gauge fields "live" at

the open string endpoints. Consequently, many interesting papers concerning non-commutative Yang-Mills theories and their renormalisability appeared.^[6] In the papers^[7] cross sections for some decays, allowed in noncommutative Yang-Mills theories and forbidden in commutative ones, are calculated, which offers a possibility of the experimental check of the noncommutativity idea and further, indirectly, idea of strings.

It is obvious that closed bosonic string in the presence of constant background fields remains commutative. There are no boundaries and, consequently, boundary conditions constraining string dynamics. In the case of open string we obtained initial coordinate in the form of linear combination of effective coordinates and momenta using boundary condition. That is achieved in the closed string case^[8] using T-duality procedure and coordinate dependent background.

T-duality as a fundamental feature of string theory,^[9–15] unexperienced by point particle, makes that there is no physical difference between string theory compactified on a circle of radius R and circle of radius 1/R. Buscher T-dualization procedure^[10] represents a mathematical frame in which T-dualization is realized. If the background fields do not depend on some coordinates then those coordinates are isometry directions. Consequently, that symmetry can be localized replacing ordinary world-sheet derivatives ∂_{\pm} by covariant ones $D_{\pm}x^{\mu} = \partial_{\pm}x^{\mu} + v_{\pm}^{\mu}$, where v_{\pm}^{μ} are gauge fields. In order to make T-dual theory has the same number of degrees of freedom, the new term with Lagrange multipliers is added to the action which forces the gauge fields to be unphysical degrees of freedom. Because of the shift symmetry, using gauge freedom we fix initial coordinates. Variation of this gauge fixed action with respect to the Lagrange multipliers

produces initial action and with respect to the gauge fields produces T-dual action.

SCIENCE NEWS _____ www.advancedsciencenews.com

Standard Buscher T-dualization was applied in closed string case in the papers.^[8,16–19] In Ref. [16] authors consider 3-torus in the presence of constant metric and Kalb-Ramond field with one nonzero component $B_{xy} = Hz$, where field strength H is infinitesimal. They systematically apply Buscher procedure and, after two T-dualizations along isometry directions, obtain theory with Q flux which is noncommutative. In the calculations they used nontrivial boundary conditions (winding conditions). The result is that T-dual closed string coordinates are noncommutative for the same values of parameters $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ with noncommutativity parameter proportional to field strength H and N_3 , winding number for z coordinate.

But, except this standard Buscher procedure, there is a generalized Buscher procedure dealing with background fields depending on all coordinates. The generalized procedure was applied to the case of bosonic string moving in the weakly curved background^[20–22] and in the case where metric is quadratic in coordinates and Kalb-Ramond field is linear function of coordinates.^[23] The generalized procedure enables us to make T-dualization in mentioned cases along arbitrary subset of coordinates.

Double space is one picturesque framework for representation of T-duality. Double space is introduced two to three decades ago.^[24–28] It is spanned by double coordinates $Z^M = (x^{\mu}, \gamma_{\mu})$ $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, ..., D - 1)$, where x^{μ} are the coordinates of the initial theory and γ_{μ} are T-dual coordinates. In this space T-dualization is represented as O(d, d) transformation.^[29–33] Permutation of the appropriate subsets of the initial and T-dual coordinates is interpreted as partial T-dualization^[34,35] expanding Duff's idea.^[24] The newly invented intrinsic noncommutativity^[36] is related to double space. Intrinsic noncommutativity exists in the constant background case because it is considered within double space framework.

In this article we will deal with closed bosonic string propagating in the constant metric and linear dependent Kalb-Ramond field with $B_{xy} = Hz$, the same background as in [16]. This configuration is known in literature as torus with *H*-flux. As in the Ref. [16] we will use approximation of diluted flux, which means that in all calculations we keep constant and linear terms in infinitesimal field strength *H*. Transformation laws, relations which connect initial and T-dual variables, we will write in canonical form expressing initial momenta in terms of the T-dual coordinates. Unlike Ref. [16], except T-dualization along two isometry directions, we will make one step more and T-dualize along *z* coordinate using generalized T-dualization procedure. During dualization procedure we will use trivial boundary (winding) conditions.

Transformation laws in canonical form enable us to express sigma derivative of the T-dual coordinate as a linear combination of the initial momenta and coordinates. Because initial theory is geometrical locally and globally, its coordinates and canonically conjugated momenta satisfy standard Poisson algebra. This fact means that we can calculate the Poisson brackets of the T-dual coordinates using technical instruction given in subsection 4.1.

After T-dualizations along isometry directions (along x and y) we obtain the same background as in Ref. [16] but, obtained Q flux theory, which is still locally well defined, is commuta-

tive. This is a consequence of the imposed trivial winding conditions. Having in mind the generalized T-duality procedure,^[20,21,23] T-dualization along *z* coordinate produces *R* flux nonlocal theory because it depends on the variable ΔV which is defined as line integral. Calculating Poisson brackets of the T-dual coordinates we obtain two nonzero Poisson brackets and show that there is a correlation between non-locality and closed string noncommutativity.

The form of noncommutativity is such that it exists when arguments of the coordinates are different, $\sigma \neq \bar{\sigma}$. That is another difference with respect to the result of Ref. [16] but there is no contradiction because the origins of noncommutativity are different. In this article non-locality is related with noncommutativity of *R* flux theory under trivial winding conditions while in Ref. [16] it is about noncommutativity of *Q* flux theory under nontrivial winding conditions.

From the noncommutativity relations it follows that Jacobi identity is broken i.e. nonassociativity occurs. Nonassociativity parameter, *R* flux, is proportional to the field strength *H*. Using generalized T-duality^[20,21,23] we obtain the concrete form of nonassociativity from string dynamics. Similar as noncommutativity, discovery of nonassociativity pushes the scientists to explore the effects of nonassociativity in the field of renormalisability of ϕ^4 theory^[37] as well as formulation of nonassociative gravity.^[38]

At the end we add an appendix containing some conventions used in the paper.

2. Bosonic String Action and Choice of Background Fields

The action of the closed bosonic string in the presence of the space-time metric $G_{\mu\nu}(x)$, Kalb-Ramond antisymmetric field $B_{\mu\nu}(x)$, and dilaton scalar field $\Phi(x)$ is given by the following expression^[9]

$$S = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^{2} \xi \sqrt{-g} \\ \times \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}} B_{\mu\nu}(x) \right] \partial_{\alpha} x^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} x^{\nu} + \Phi(x) R^{(2)} \right\},$$
(2.1)

where Σ is the world-sheet surface parameterized by $\xi^{\alpha} = (\tau, \sigma)$ [($\alpha = 0, 1$), $\sigma \in (0, \pi)$], while the *D*-dimensional space-time is spanned by the coordinates x^{μ} ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, ..., D - 1$). We denote intrinsic world sheet metric with $g_{\alpha\beta}$, and the corresponding scalar curvature with $R^{(2)}$.

In order to keep conformal symmetry on the quantum level background fields must obey space-time field equations^[39]

$$\beta_{\mu\nu}^{G} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\rho\sigma} B_{\nu}^{\ \rho\sigma} + 2 D_{\mu} a_{\nu} = 0, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\beta_{\mu\nu}^{B} \equiv D_{\rho} B^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} - 2a_{\rho} B^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\beta^{\Phi} \equiv 2\pi\kappa \frac{D-26}{6} - R - \frac{1}{24} B_{\mu\rho\sigma} B^{\mu\rho\sigma} - D_{\mu}a^{\mu} + 4a^2 = c,$$
(2.4)

where c is an arbitrary constant. The function β^{Φ} could be a constant because of the relation

www.advancedsciencenews.com

$$D^{\nu}\beta^{G}_{\nu\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\beta^{\Phi} = 0.$$
(2.5)

Further, $R_{\mu\nu}$ and D_{μ} are Ricci tensor and covariant derivative with respect to the space-time metric $G_{\mu\nu}$, while

$$B_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_{\mu} B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu} B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_{\rho} B_{\mu\nu}, \quad a_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \Phi,$$
(2.6)

are field strength for Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and dilaton gradient, respectively. Trivial solution of these equations is that all three background fields are constant. This case was pretty exploited in the analysis of the open string noncommutativity.

The less trivial case would be a case where some background fields are coordinate dependent. If we choose Kalb-Ramond field to be linearly coordinate dependent and dilaton field to be constant then the first equation (2.2) becomes

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\rho\sigma} B_{\nu}^{\ \rho\sigma} = 0.$$
 (2.7)

The field strength $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is constant and, if we assume that it is infinitesimal, then we can take $G_{\mu\nu}$ to be constant in approximation linear in $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$. Consequently, all three space-time field equations are satisfied. Especially, the third one is of the form

$$2\pi\kappa \frac{D-26}{6} = c, \tag{2.8}$$

which enables us to work in arbitrary number of space-time dimensions.

In this article we will work in D = 3 dimensions with the following choice of background fields

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1^2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & R_2^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & R_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Hz & 0\\ -Hz & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $R_{\mu}(\mu = 1, 2, 3)$ are radii of the compact dimensions. This choice of background fields is known in geometry as torus with flux (field strength) H.^[16] Our choice of infinitesimal H can be understood in terms of the radii as that

$$\left(\frac{H}{R_1 R_2 R_3}\right)^2 = 0.$$
 (2.10)

This approximation is known in literature as the approximation of diluted flux. Physically, this means that we work with the torus which is sufficiently large. Consequently, we can rescale the coordinates

$$x^{\mu} \longmapsto rac{x^{\mu}}{R_{\mu}},$$
 (2.11)

which simplifies the form of the metric

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.12)

The final form of the closed bosonic string action is

$$S = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^{2} \xi \partial_{+} x^{\mu} \Pi_{+\mu\nu} \partial_{-} x^{\nu}$$

= $\kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^{2} \xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{+} x \partial_{-} x + \partial_{+} y \partial_{-} y + \partial_{+} z \partial_{-} z \right) + \partial_{+} x H z \partial_{-} y - \partial_{+} y H z \partial_{-} x \right],$ (2.13)

where $\partial_{\pm} = \partial_{\tau} \pm \partial_{\sigma}$ is world-sheet derivative with respect to the light-cone coordinates $\xi^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sigma)$, $\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu} \pm \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}$ and

$$x^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.14)

Let us note that we do not write dilaton term because its T-dualization is performed separately within quantum formalism and here will be skipped.

3. T-dualization of the Bosonic Closed String Action

In this section we will perform T-dualization along three directions, one direction at time. Our goal is to find the relations connecting initial variables with T-dual ones called transformation laws. Using transformation laws we will find noncommutativity and nonassociativity relations.

3.1. T-dualization Along x Direction – from Torus with H Flux to the Twisted Torus

Let us perform standard Buscher T-dualization^[10] of action (2.13) along *x* direction. Note that *x* direction is an isometry direction which means that action has a global shift symmetry, $x \rightarrow x + a$. In order to perform Buscher procedure, we have to localize this symmetry introducing covariant world-sheet derivatives instead of the ordinary ones

$$\partial_{\pm} x \longrightarrow D_{\pm} x = \partial_{\pm} x + v_{\pm},$$
(3.1)

where v_{\pm} are gauge fields which transform as $\delta v_{\pm} = -\partial_{\pm} a$. Because T-dual action must have the same number of degrees of freedom as initial one, we have to make these fields v_{\pm} be unphysical degrees of freedom. This is accomplished by adding following term to the action

$$S_{add} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\Sigma} d^2 \xi \gamma_1 (\partial_+ v_- - \partial_- v_+), \qquad (3.2)$$

where γ_1 is a Lagrange multiplier. After gauge fixing, x = const., the action gets the form

$$S_{fix} = \kappa \int d^2 \xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(v_+ v_- + \partial_+ \gamma \partial_- \gamma + \partial_+ z \partial_- z \right) + v_+ H z \partial_- \gamma \right. \\ \left. - \partial_+ \gamma H z v_- + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 (\partial_+ v_- - \partial_- v_+) \right].$$
(3.3)

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____ www.advancedsciencenews.com

From the equations of motion for y_1 we obtain that field strength for the gauge field v_{\pm} is equal to zero

$$F_{+-} = \partial_+ v_- - \partial_- v_+ = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

which gives us the solution for gauge field

$$v_{\pm} = \partial_{\pm} x. \tag{3.5}$$

Inserting this solution for gauge field into gauge fixed action (3.3) we obtain initial action given by Eq. (2.13). Equations of motion for v_{\pm} will lead to the T-dual action. Varying the gauge fixed action (3.3) with respect to the gauge field v_{\pm} we get

$$v_{-} = -\partial_{-} y_{1} - 2Hz\partial_{-} y, \qquad (3.6)$$

while on the equation of motion for v_{-} it holds

$$v_+ = \partial_+ \gamma_1 + 2 H z \partial_+ \gamma. \tag{3.7}$$

Inserting relations (3.6) and (3.7) into expression for gauge fixed action (3.3), keeping terms linear in H, we obtain the T-dual action

$${}_{x}S = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^{2}\xi \,\partial_{+} ({}_{x}X)^{\mu}{}_{x}\Pi_{+\mu\nu}\partial_{-} ({}_{x}X)^{\nu}, \qquad (3.8)$$

where subscript $_{x}$ denotes quantity obtained after T-dualization along x direction and

$$_{x}X^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1} \\ \gamma \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.9)

Further we have the T-dual background fields

$${}_{x}\Pi_{+\mu\nu} = {}_{x}B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}{}_{x}G_{\mu\nu}, \quad {}_{x}B_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

$${}_{x}G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2Hz & 0\\ 2Hz & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.10)

Obtained background fields (3.10) define that what is known in literature as *twisted torus geometry*. String theory after one T-dualization is geometrically well defined globally and locally or, simply, theory is geometrical (flux *H* takes the role of connection).

Combining the solutions of equations of motion for Lagrange multiplier (3.5) and for gauge fields, (3.6) and (3.7), we get the transformation laws connecting initial, x^{μ} , and T-dual, $_{x}X^{\mu}$, coordinates

$$\partial_{\pm} x \cong \pm \partial_{\pm} y_1 \pm 2 H z \partial_{\pm} y, \tag{3.11}$$

where \cong denotes T-duality relation. The momentum π_x is canonically conjugated to the initial coordinate *x*. Using the initial action (2.13) we get

$$\pi_{x} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \dot{x}} = \kappa (\dot{x} - 2 Hzy'), \qquad (3.12)$$

where $\dot{A} \equiv \partial_{\tau} A$ and $A' \equiv \partial_{\sigma} A$. From transformation law (3.11) it is straightforward to obtain

$$\dot{x} \cong y_1' + 2Hzy', \tag{3.13}$$

which, inserted in the expression for momentum π_x , gives transformation law in canonical form

$$\pi_x \cong \kappa \gamma_1'. \tag{3.14}$$

3.2. From Twisted Torus to Non-geometrical Q Flux

In this subsection we will continue the T-dualization of action (3.8) along y direction. After x and y T-dualization we obtain the structure which has local geometrical interpretation but global omissions. Such structure is known in literature as non-geometry.

We repeat the procedure from the previous subsection and form the gauge fixed action

$$S_{fix} = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^{2} \xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{+} \gamma_{1} \partial_{-} \gamma_{1} + v_{+} v_{-} + \partial_{+} z \partial_{-} z \right) + \partial_{+} \gamma_{1} H z v_{-} \right. \\ \left. + v_{+} H z \partial_{-} \gamma_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{2} (\partial_{+} v_{-} - \partial_{-} v_{+}) \right].$$
(3.15)

From the equation of motion for Lagrange multiplier y_2

$$\partial_+ v_- - \partial_- v_+ = 0 \longrightarrow v_\pm = \partial_\pm \gamma,$$
 (3.16)

gauge fixed action becomes initial one (3.8). Varying the gauge fixed action (3.15) with respect to the gauge fields we get

$$v_{\pm} = \pm \partial_{\pm} \gamma_2 - 2 H z \partial_{\pm} \gamma_1. \tag{3.17}$$

Inserting these expressions for gauge fields into gauge fixed action, keeping the terms linear in *H*, gauge fixed action is driven into T-dual action

$$_{xy}S = \kappa \int d^2\xi \,\partial_+ (_{xy}X)^{\mu}{}_{xy}\Pi_{+\mu\nu}\partial_- (_{xy}X)^{\nu}, \qquad (3.18)$$

where

$$(_{xy}X)^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ z \end{pmatrix},$$

$$_{xy}\Pi_{+\mu\nu} = _{xy}B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}{}_{xy}G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -Hz & 0 \\ Hz & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(3.19)$$

Explicit expressions for background fields are

$${}_{xy}B_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Hz & 0 \\ Hz & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -B_{\mu\nu}, \quad {}_{xy}G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.20)

Let us note that background fields obtained after two T-dualizations are similar to the geometric background of torus with H flux, but they should be considered only locally. Their global properties are non-trivial and because of that the term "non-geometry" is introduced.

Combining the equations of motion for Lagrange multiplier y_2 and for gauge fields v_{\pm} , we obtain T-dual transformation laws

$$\partial_{\pm} y \cong \pm \partial_{\pm} y_2 - 2 H z \partial_{\pm} y_1. \tag{3.21}$$

The *y* component of the initial canonical momentum π_y is a variation of the initial action with respect to the \dot{y}

$$\pi_{\gamma} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \dot{\gamma}} = \kappa (\dot{\gamma} + 2 Hzx'). \tag{3.22}$$

Using T-dual transformation laws (3.21) we easily get

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} \cong \mathbf{y}_2' - 2\,Hz\dot{\mathbf{y}}_1,\tag{3.23}$$

while from the transformation law (3.11), at zeroth order in *H*, it holds $x' \cong \dot{y}_1$. Inserting last two expression into π_y we obtain transformation law in canonical form

$$\pi_{\gamma} \cong \kappa \gamma_2'. \tag{3.24}$$

After two T-dualizations along isometry directions, in the approximation of the diluted flux (keeping just terms linear in *H*), according to the canonical forms of the transformation laws (3.14) and (3.24), we see that T-dual coordinates y_1 and y_2 are still commutative. This is a consequence of the simple fact that variables of the initial theory, which is geometrical one, satisfy standard Poisson algebra

$$\left\{x^{\mu}(\sigma), \pi_{\nu}(\bar{\sigma})\right\} = \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\delta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}), \quad \left\{x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}\right\} = \left\{\pi_{\mu}, \pi_{\nu}\right\} = 0,$$
(3.25)

where

$$\pi_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{x} \\ \pi_{y} \\ \pi_{z} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.26)

3.3. From Q to R Flux – T-dualization Along z Coordinate

In this subsection we will finalize the process of T-dualization dualizing along remaining *z* direction. For this purpose we will use generalized T-dualization procedure.^[20,21,23] The result is a theory which is not well defined even locally and is known in literature as theory with *R*-flux.

We start with the action obtained after T-dualizations along x and y directions (3.18). The Kalb-Ramond field (3.20) depends on z and it seems that it is not possible to perform T-dualization. Let

us assume that Kalb-Ramond field linearly depends on all coordinates, $B_{\mu\nu} = b_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho} x^{\rho}$ and check if some global transformation can be treated as isometry one. We start with global shift transformation

$$\delta x^{\mu} = \lambda^{\mu}, \tag{3.27}$$

and make a variation of action

$$\delta S = \frac{\kappa}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho} \lambda^{\rho} \int_{\Sigma} d^{2} \xi \partial_{+} x^{\mu} \partial_{-} x^{\nu}$$
$$= \frac{2k}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho} \lambda^{\rho} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \int_{\Sigma} d^{2} \xi [\partial_{\alpha} (x^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} x^{\nu}) - x^{\mu} (\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} x^{\nu})].$$
(3.28)

The second term vanishes explicitly, while the first term is surface one. Consequently, in the case of constant metric and linearly dependent Kalb-Ramond field, global shift transformation is an isometry transformation. This means that we can make T-dualization along *z* coordinate using generalized T-dualization procedure.

The generalized T-dualization procedure is presented in detail in Ref. [20]. In order to localize shift symmetry of the action (3.18) along *z* direction we introduce covariant derivative

$$\partial_{\pm}z \longrightarrow D_{\pm}z = \partial_{\pm}z + v_{\pm},$$
(3.29)

which is a part of the standard Buscher procedure. The novelty is introduction of the invariant coordinate as line integral

$$z^{inv} = \int_{P} d\xi^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} z$$

=
$$\int_{P} d\xi^{+} D_{+} z + \int_{P} d\xi^{-} D_{-} z = z(\xi) - z(\xi_{0}) + \Delta V, \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$\Delta V = \int_{P} d\xi^{\alpha} v_{\alpha} = \int_{P} (d\xi^{+} v_{+} + d\xi^{-} v_{-}).$$
(3.31)

Here ξ and ξ_0 are the current and initial point of the world-sheet line *P*. At the end, as in the standard Buscher procedure, in order to make v_{\pm} to be unphysical degrees of freedom we add to the action term with Lagrange multiplier

$$S_{add} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\Sigma} d^2 \xi \, \gamma_3 (\partial_+ v_- - \partial_+ v_-). \tag{3.32}$$

The final form of the action is

$$\begin{split} \bar{S} &= \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^2 \xi \left[-H z^{inv} (\partial_+ \gamma_1 \partial_- \gamma_2 - \partial_+ \gamma_2 \partial_- \gamma_1) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_+ \gamma_1 \partial_- \gamma_1 + \partial_+ \gamma_2 \partial_- \gamma_2 + D_+ z D_- z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left. \gamma_3 (\partial_+ v_- - \partial_- v_+) \right]. \end{split}$$

$$(3.33)$$

www.advancedsciencenews.com

$$S_{fix} = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^{2} \xi \left[-H\Delta V(\partial_{+} \gamma_{1} \partial_{-} \gamma_{2} - \partial_{+} \gamma_{2} \partial_{-} \gamma_{1}) \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{+} \gamma_{1} \partial_{-} \gamma_{1} + \partial_{+} \gamma_{2} \partial_{-} \gamma_{2} + \upsilon_{+} \upsilon_{-}) \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{3} (\partial_{+} \upsilon_{-} - \partial_{-} \upsilon_{+}) \right].$$
(3.34)

From the equation of motion for Lagrange multiplier y_3 we obtain

$$\partial_+ v_- - \partial_- v_+ = 0 \Longrightarrow v_\pm = \partial_\pm z, \quad \Delta V = \Delta z,$$
 (3.35)

which drives back the gauge fixed action to the initial action (3.18). Varying the gauge fixed action (3.34) with respect to the gauge fields v_{\pm} we get the following equations of motion

$$v_{\pm} = \pm \partial_{\pm} \gamma_3 - 2\beta^{\mp}, \tag{3.36}$$

where β^{\pm} functions are defined as

$$\beta^{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{2} H(\gamma_1 \partial_{\mp} \gamma_2 - \gamma_2 \partial_{\mp} \gamma_1).$$
(3.37)

The β^{\pm} functions are obtained as a result of the variation of the term containing ΔV

$$\delta_{v} \left(-2\kappa \int d^{2}\xi \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} H \partial_{\alpha} \gamma_{1} \partial_{\beta} \gamma_{2} \Delta V \right)$$
$$= \kappa \int d^{2}\xi \left(\beta^{+} \delta v_{+} + \beta^{-} \delta v_{-} \right), \qquad (3.38)$$

using partial integration and the fact that $\partial_{\pm} V = v_{\pm}$. Inserting the relations (3.36) into the gauge fixed action, keeping linear terms in *H*, we obtain the T-dual action

$$_{xyz}S = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^2 \xi \,\partial_{+xyz} X^{\mu}{}_{xyz} \Pi_{+\mu\nu} \partial_{-xyz} X^{\nu}, \qquad (3.39)$$

where

$$_{xyz}X^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad _{xyz}\Pi_{+\mu\nu} = _{xyz}B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}_{xyz}G_{\mu\nu},$$
 (3.40)

$$_{xyz}B_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -H\Delta\tilde{y}_3 & 0\\ H\Delta\tilde{y}_3 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad _{xyz}G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.41)

Here we introduced double coordinate $\tilde{\gamma}_3$ defined as

 $\partial_{\pm} \gamma_3 \equiv \pm \partial_{\pm} \tilde{\gamma}_3. \tag{3.42}$

Let us note that ΔV stands beside field strength H, which implicates that, according to the diluted flux approximation, we calculate ΔV in the zeroth order in H

$$\Delta V = \int d\xi^+ \partial_+ \gamma_3 - \int d\xi^- \partial_- \gamma_3. \tag{3.43}$$

Having this into account it is clear why we defined double coordinate $\tilde{\gamma}_3$ as in Eq. (3.42). Also it is useful to note that presence of ΔV , which is defined as line integral, represents the source of non-locality of the T-dual theory. the result of the three T-dualization is a theory with *R* flux as it is known in the literature.

Combining the equations of motion for Lagrange multiplier (3.35), $v_{\pm} = \partial_{\pm} z$, and equations of motion for gauge fields (3.36), we obtain the T-dual transformation law

$$\partial_{\pm} z \cong \pm \partial_{\pm} \gamma_3 - 2\beta^{\mp}. \tag{3.44}$$

Adding transformation laws for $\partial_\pm z$ and $\partial_- z$ we get the transformation law for $\dot z$

$$\dot{z} \cong \gamma'_3 + H(\gamma_1 \gamma'_2 - \gamma_2 \gamma'_1),$$
 (3.45)

which enables us to write down the transformation law in the canonical form

$$\gamma'_3 \cong \frac{1}{\kappa} \pi_z - H(x\gamma' - \gamma x'). \tag{3.46}$$

Here we used the expression for the canonical momentum of the initial theory (2.13)

$$\pi_z = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \dot{z}} = \kappa \dot{z}.$$
(3.47)

4. Noncommutativity and Nonassociativity Using T-duality

In the open string case noncommutativity comes from the boundary conditions which makes that coordinates x^{μ} depend both on the effective coordinates and on the effective momenta.^[4] Effective coordinates and momenta do not commute and, consequently, coordinates x^{μ} do not commute. In the closed bosonic string case the logic is the same but the execution is different. Using T-duality we obtained transformation laws, (3.11), (3.21) and (3.44), which relate T-dual coordinates with the initial coordinates and their canonically conjugated momenta. In this section we will use these relations to get noncommutativity and nonassociativity relations.

4.1. Noncommutativity Relations

Let us start with the Poisson bracket of the σ derivatives of two arbitrary coordinates in the form

$$\{A'(\sigma), B'(\bar{\sigma})\} = U'(\sigma)\delta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) + V(\sigma)\delta'(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\delta'(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) \equiv \partial_{\sigma} \delta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})$. In order to find the form of the Poisson bracket

 $\{A(\sigma), B(\bar{\sigma})\},\$

we have to find the form of the Poisson bracket

 $\{\Delta A(\sigma, \sigma_0), \Delta B(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}_0)\},\$

SCIENCE NEWS _____ www.advancedsciencenews.com

where

$$\Delta A(\sigma, \sigma_0) = \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} dx A'(x) = A(\sigma) - A(\sigma_0),$$

$$\Delta B(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}_0) = \int_{\bar{\sigma}_0}^{\bar{\sigma}} dx B'(x) = B(\bar{\sigma}) - B(\bar{\sigma}_0).$$
(4.2)

Now we have

$$\{\Delta A(\sigma, \sigma_0), \Delta B(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}_0)\} = \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} dx \int_{\bar{\sigma}_0}^{\bar{\sigma}} dy \left[U'(x)\delta(x-y) + V(x)\delta'(x-y) \right].$$
(4.3)

After integration over *y* we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Delta A(\sigma,\sigma_0), \Delta B(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}_0)\} &= \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} dx \left\{ U'(x) \left[\theta(x-\bar{\sigma}_0) - \theta(x-\bar{\sigma}) \right] \right. \\ &+ \left. V(x) \left[\delta(x-\bar{\sigma}_0) - \delta(x-\bar{\sigma}) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
(4.4)

where function $\theta(x)$ is defined as

$$\theta(x) = \int_0^x d\eta \delta(\eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[x + 2 \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n} \sin(nx) \right]$$
$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0\\ 1/2 & \text{if } 0 < x < 2\pi.\\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2\pi \end{cases}$$
(4.5)

Integrating over *x* using partial integration finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Delta A(\sigma, \sigma_0), \Delta B(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}_0)\} &= U(\sigma)[\theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}_0) - \theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})] \\ -U(\sigma_0)[\theta(\sigma_0 - \bar{\sigma}_0) - \theta(\sigma_0 - \bar{\sigma})] - U(\bar{\sigma}_0)[\theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}_0) - \theta(\sigma_0 - \bar{\sigma}_0)] \\ +U(\bar{\sigma})[\theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) - \theta(\sigma_0 - \bar{\sigma})] + V(\bar{\sigma}_0)[\theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}_0) - \theta(\sigma_0 - \bar{\sigma}_0] \\ -V(\bar{\sigma})[\theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) - \theta(\sigma_0 - \bar{\sigma})]. \end{aligned}$$
(4.6)

From the last expression, using the right-hand sides of the expressions in Eq. (4.2), we extract the desired Poisson bracket

$$\{A(\sigma), B(\bar{\sigma})\} = -[U(\sigma) - U(\bar{\sigma}) + V(\bar{\sigma})]\theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}).$$
(4.7)

Let us rewrite the canonical forms of the transformation laws, (3.14), (3.24) and (3.46), in the following way

$$y_1' \cong \frac{1}{\kappa} \pi_x, \quad y_2' \cong \frac{1}{\kappa} \pi_y, \quad y_3' \cong \frac{1}{\kappa} \pi_z - H(xy' - yx').$$
 (4.8)

In order to find the Poisson brackets between T-dual coordinates y_{μ} we will use the algebra of the coordinates and momenta of the initial theory (3.25). It is obvious that only nontrivial Poisson brackets will be { $y_1(\sigma)$, $y_3(\bar{\sigma})$ } and { $y_2(\sigma)$, $y_3(\bar{\sigma})$ }.

Let us first write the corresponding Poisson brackets of the sigma derivatives of T-dual coordinates γ_{μ} using (4.8)

$$\{y_{1}'(\sigma), y_{3}'(\bar{\sigma})\} \cong \frac{2}{\kappa} H\gamma'(\sigma)\delta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{\kappa} H\gamma(\sigma)\delta'(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}),$$
(4.9)
$$\{y_{2}'(\sigma), y_{3}'(\bar{\sigma})\} \cong -\frac{2}{\kappa} Hx'(\sigma)\delta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) - \frac{1}{\kappa} Hx(\sigma)\delta'(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}),$$
(4.10)

while all other Poisson brackets are zero. We see that these Poisson brackets are of the form (4.1), so, we can apply the result (4.7). Consequently, we get

$$\{\gamma_1(\sigma), \gamma_3(\bar{\sigma})\} \cong -\frac{H}{\kappa} \left[2\gamma(\sigma) - \gamma(\bar{\sigma}) \right] \theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}), \tag{4.11}$$

$$\{\gamma_2(\sigma), \gamma_3(\bar{\sigma})\} \cong \frac{H}{\kappa} \left[2x(\sigma) - x(\bar{\sigma}) \right] \theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}), \tag{4.12}$$

where function $\theta(x)$ is defined in (4.5). Let us note that these two Poisson brackets are zero when $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ and/or field strength *H* is equal to zero. But if we take that $\sigma - \bar{\sigma} = 2\pi$ then we have $\theta(2\pi) = 1$ and it follows

$$\{\gamma_1(\sigma+2\pi), \gamma_3(\sigma)\} \cong -\frac{H}{\kappa} \left[4\pi N_{\gamma} + \gamma(\sigma)\right], \qquad (4.13)$$

$$\{\gamma_2(\sigma+2\pi), \gamma_3(\sigma)\} \cong \frac{H}{\kappa} \left[4\pi N_x + x(\sigma)\right], \qquad (4.14)$$

where N_x and N_y are winding numbers defined as

$$x(\sigma + 2\pi) - x(\sigma) = 2\pi N_x, \quad y(\sigma + 2\pi) - y(\sigma) = 2\pi N_y.$$
 (4.15)

From these relations we can see that if we choose such σ for which $x(\sigma) = 0$ and $y(\sigma) = 0$ then noncommutativity relations are proportional to winding numbers. On the other side, for winding numbers which are equal to zero there is still noncommutativity between T-dual coordinates.

4.2. Nonassociativity

In order to calculate Jacobi identity of the T-dual coordinates we first have to find Poisson brackets $\{\gamma_1(\sigma), x(\bar{\sigma})\}$ as well as $\{\gamma_2(\sigma), \gamma(\bar{\sigma})\}$. We start with

$$\{\Delta \gamma_1(\sigma, \sigma_0), x(\bar{\sigma})\} = \left\{ \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} d\eta \gamma_1'(\eta), x(\bar{\sigma}) \right\},$$
(4.16)

and then use the T-dual transformation for *x*-direction in canonical form

$$\pi_x \cong \kappa \gamma_1'. \tag{4.17}$$

From these two equations it follows

$$\{\Delta \gamma_1(\sigma, \sigma_0), x(\bar{\sigma})\} \cong \frac{1}{\kappa} \left\{ \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} d\eta \pi_x(\eta), x(\bar{\sigma}) \right\},$$
(4.18)

which, using the standard Poisson algebra, produces

$$\{\Delta y_1(\sigma, \sigma_0), x(\bar{\sigma})\} \cong -\frac{1}{\kappa} \left[\theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) - \theta(\sigma_0 - \bar{\sigma}) \right]$$
$$\implies \{y_1(\sigma), x(\bar{\sigma})\} \cong -\frac{1}{\kappa} \theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}). \tag{4.19}$$

The relation { $y_2(\sigma)$, $y(\bar{\sigma})$ } can be obtained in the same way. Because the transformation law for *y*-direction is of the same form as for *x*-direction, the Poisson bracket is of the same form

$$\{\gamma_2(\sigma), \gamma(\bar{\sigma})\} \cong -\frac{1}{\kappa} \theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}).$$
 (4.20)

Now we can calculate Jacobi identity using noncommutativity relations (4.11) and (4.12) and above two Poisson brackets

$$\{\gamma_{1}(\sigma_{1}), \gamma_{2}(\sigma_{2}), \gamma_{3}(\sigma_{3})\} \equiv \{\gamma_{1}(\sigma_{1}), \{\gamma_{2}(\sigma_{2}), \gamma_{3}(\sigma_{3})\}\} \\ +\{\gamma_{2}(\sigma_{2}), \{\gamma_{3}(\sigma_{3}), \gamma_{1}(\sigma_{1})\}\} + \{\gamma_{3}(\sigma_{3}), \{\gamma_{1}(\sigma_{1}), \gamma_{2}(\sigma_{2})\}\} \\ \cong -\frac{2H}{\kappa^{2}} \left[\theta(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})\theta(\sigma_{2} - \sigma_{3}) \\ + \theta(\sigma_{2} - \sigma_{1})\theta(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{3}) + \theta(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{3})\theta(\sigma_{3} - \sigma_{2})\right].$$
(4.21)

Jacobi identity is nonzero which means that theory with R-flux is nonassociative. For $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma + 2\pi$ we get

$$\{y_1(\sigma + 2\pi), y_2(\sigma), y_3(\sigma)\} \cong \frac{2H}{\kappa^2}.$$
 (4.22)

From the last two equations, general form of Jacobi identity and Jacobi identity for special choice of σ 's, we see that presence of the coordinate dependent Kalb-Ramond field is a source of non-commutativity and nonassociativity.

5. Conclusion

In this article we have considered the closed bosonic string propagating in the three-dimensional constant metric and Kalb-Ramond field with just one nonzero component $B_{xy} = Hz$. This choice of background is in accordance with consistency conditions in the sense that all calculations were made in approximation linear in Kalb-Ramond field strength *H*. Geometrically, this settings corresponds to the torus with *H* flux. Then we performed standard Buscher T-dualization procedure along isometry directions, first along *x* and then along *y* direction. At the end we performed generalized T-dualization procedure along *z* direction and obtained nonlocal theory with *R* flux. Using the relations between initial and T-dual variables, called T-dual transformation laws, in canonical form we find the noncommutativity and nonassociativity relations between T-dual coordinates.

After T-dualization along x direction we obtained theory embedded in geometry known in literature as twisted torus geom-

etry. The relation between initial and T-dual variables is trivial, $\pi_x \cong \kappa y'_1$, where π_x is x component of the canonical momentum of the initial theory and y_1 is coordinate T-dual to x. Consequently, flux H takes a role of connection, obtained theory is globally and locally well defined and commutative, because the coordinates and their canonically conjugated momenta satisfy the standard Poisson algebra (3.25).

The second T-dualization, along y direction, produces nongeometrical theory, in literature known as *O* flux theory. The metric is the same as initial one and Kalb-Ramond field have the same form as initial up to minus sign. But, this theory has just local geometrical interpretation. We obtained that, in approximation linear in *H*, the transformation law in canonical form is again trivial, $\pi_{y} \cong \kappa y'_{2}$, where π_{y} is y component of the canonical momentum of the initial theory and y_2 is coordinate T-dual to y. As a consequence of the standard Poisson algebra (3.25), we conclude that Q flux theory is still commutative. This result seems to be opposite from the result of the reference [16] where in detailed calculation it is shown that Q flux theory is noncommutative. The difference is in the so called boundary condition i.e. winding condition. In the Ref. [16] they imposed nontrivial winding condition which mixes the coordinates and their T-dual partners (condition given in Eq. (C.18) of Ref. [16]) and the result is noncommutativity. In this article the trivial winding condition is imposed on xand y coordinates. The consequence is that Q flux theory is commutative. But as it is written in Ref. [16] on page 42, "a priori other reasonings could as well be pursued".

T-dualizing along coordinate *z* using the machinery of the generalized T-dualization procedure^[20,21,23] we obtain the nonlocal theory (theory with *R* flux) and nontrivial transformation law in canonical form. Non-locality stems from the fact that background fields are expressed in terms of the variable ΔV which is defined as line integral. On the other side, dependence of the Kalb-Ramond field on *z* coordinate produces the $\beta^{\pm}(x, y)$ functions and nontrivial transformation law for π_z . Consequently, coordinate dependent background gives non-locality and, further, nonzero Poisson brackets of the T-dual coordinates. We can claim that there is a correlation between non-locality (R-flux theory) and closed string noncommutativity and nonassociativity. In addition, nonzero Poisson bracket implies nonzero Jacobi identity which is a signal of nonassociativity.

From the expressions (4.11), (4.12) and (4.21) it follows that parameters of noncommutativity and nonassociativity are proportional to the field strength H. That means that closed string noncommuatativity and nonassociativity are consequence of the fact that Kalb-Ramond field is coordinate dependent, $B_{xy} = Hz$, where *H* is an infinitesimal parameter according to the approximation of diluted flux. Using T-duality and trivial winding conditions we obtained noncommutativity relations. The noncommutativity relations are zero if $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ because in noncommutativity relations function $\theta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})$ is present, which is zero if its argument is zero. This is also at the first glance opposite to the result of Ref. [16], but, having in mind that origin of noncommutativity is not same, this difference is not surprising. If we made a round in sigma choosing $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + 2\pi$ and $\bar{\sigma} \rightarrow \sigma$, because of $\theta(2\pi) = 1$, we obtained nonzero Poisson brackets. From the relations (4.13) and (4.14) we see that noncommutativity exists even in the case when winding numbers are zero, noncommutativity relations still stand unlike the result in [16]. Consequently, we can

www.advancedsciencenews.com

IENCE NEWS

speak about some essential noncommutativity originating from non-locality.

We showed that in *ordinary* space coordinate dependent background is a sufficient condition for closed string noncommutativity. Some papers^[36] show that noncommutativity is possible even in the constant background case. But that could be realized using the *double space formalism*. At the zeroth order the explanation follows from the fact that transformation law in canonical form is of the form $\pi_{\mu} \cong \kappa \gamma'_{\mu}$, where γ_{μ} is T-dual coordinate. Forming double space spanned by $Z^M = (x^{\mu}, \gamma_{\mu})$, we obtained noncommuative (double) space. In literature this kind of noncommutativity is called intrinsic one.

Appendix: Light-Cone Coordinates

In the paper we often use light-cone coordinates defined as

$$\xi^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sigma). \tag{A.1}$$

The corresponding partial derivatives are

$$\partial_{\pm} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{\pm}} = \partial_{\tau} \pm \partial_{\sigma}. \tag{A.2}$$

Two dimensional Levi-Civita $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ is chosen in (τ, σ) basis as $\varepsilon^{\tau\sigma} = -1$. Consequently, in the light-cone basis the form of tensor is

$$\varepsilon_{lc} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A.3)

The flat world-sheet metric is of the form in (τ, σ) and light-cone basis, respectively

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta_{lc} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A.4)

Acknowledgements

Work supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, under contract No. 171031. I also want to thank to Prof. Dr. Branislav Sazdović and Dr. Ljubica Davidović from Institute of Physics Belgrade for useful discussions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

Keywords

closed string, nonassociativity, noncommutativity, non-locality

Received: January 29, 2018

[1] H. S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. 1947, 71, 38.



- [3] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, JHEP 1999, 09, 032.
- [4] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas, A. Schwarz, JHEP 1998, 02, 003; M. R. Douglas, C. Hull, JHEP 1998, 02, 008; V. Schomerus, JHEP 1999, 06, 030; F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, JHEP 1999, 02, 016; C. S. Chu, P. M. Ho, Nucl. Phys. 1999, B550, 151; F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Nucl. Phys. 2000, B576, 578; C. S. Chu, P. M. Ho, Nucl. Phys. 2000, B568, 447; T. Lee, Phys. Rev. 2000, D62, 024022; B. Nikolić, B. Sazdović, Phys. Rev. 2006, D74, 045024; Phys. Rev. 2007, D75, 085011.
- [5] M. Evans, B. Ovrut, Phys. Rev. 1989, D39, 3016.
- [6] D. Latas, V. Radovanovic, J. Trampetic, *Phys. Rev.* 2007, *D76*,085006;
 M. Buric, V. Radovanovic, J. Trampetic, *JHEP* 2007, *03*, 030; D. N. Blaschke, H. Grosse, J.-C. Wallet, *JHEP* 2013, *06*, 038; C. P. Martin, C. Tamarit, *JHEP* 2009, *11*, 092.
- B. Melic, K. Passek-Kumericki, J. Trampetic, *Phys. Rev.* 2005, *D72*, 057502; M. Buric, D. Latas, V. Radovanovic, J. Trampetic, *Phys. Rev.* 2007, *D 75*, 097701.
- [8] D. Lust, JHEP 2010, 12, 084.
- [9] K. Becker, M. Becker, J. Schwarz String Theory and M-Theory: A Modern Introduction; B. Zwiebach, A First Course in String Theory, Cambridge University Press, 2004; J. Polchinski, String theory - Volume II, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [10] T. Buscher, Phys. Lett. 1987, B 194, 59; 1988, 201, 466.
- [11] M. Roček, E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. 1992, B 373, 630.
- [12] A. Giveon, M. Porrati, E. Rabinovici, Phys. Rep. 1994, 244, 77.
- [13] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, J. Barbon, Y. Lozano, Nucl. Phys. 1994, B 415, 71.
- [14] B. Nikolić, B. Sazdović, Nucl. Phys. 2010, B 836, 100.
- [15] D. S. Berman, D. C. Thompson, Phys. Rept. 2014, 566, 1.
- [16] D. Andriot, M. Larfors, D. Luest, P. Patalong, JHEP 2013, 06, 021.
- [17] D. Andriot, O. Hohm, M. Larfors, D. Lust, P. Patalong, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2012, 108, 261602.
- [18] D. Luest, arxiv:1205.0100 [hep-th]; R. Blumenhagen, A. Deser, D. Luest, E. Plauschinn, F. Rennecke, J. Phys. 2011, A44, 385401; C. Condeescu, I. Florakis, D. Luest, JHEP 2012, 04, 121.
- [19] J. Shelton, W. Taylor, B. Wecht, JHEP 2005, 10, 085; A. Dabholkar, C. Hull, JHEP 2006, 05, 009.
- [20] Lj. Davidović, B. Sazdović, EPJ 2014, C 74, 2683.
- [21] Lj. Davidović, B. Nikolić, B. Sazdović, EPJ 2015, C 75, 576.
- [22] Lj. Davidović, B. Nikolić, B. Sazdović, EPJ 2014, C 74, 2734.
- [23] Lj. Davidović, B. Sazdović, JHEP **2015**, *11*, 119.
- [24] M. Duff, Nucl. Phys. 1990, B 335, 610.
- [25] A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. 1990, B 242, 163.
- [26] A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. 1991, B 350, 395.
- [27] W. Siegel, Phys. Rev. 1993, D 48, 2826.
- [28] W. Siegel, Phys. Rev. 1993, D 47, 5453.
- [29] C. M. Hull, JHEP 2005, 10, 065.
- [30] C. M. Hull, JHEP 2007, 10, 057; 2007, 07, 080.
- [31] D. S. Berman, M. Cederwall, M. J. Perry, *JHEP* 2014, 09, 066; D. S. Berman, C. D. A. Blair, E. Malek, M. J. Perry, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* 2014, A29, 15, 1450080; C. D. A. Blair, E. Malek, A. J. Routh, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 2014, 31, 20, 205011.
- [32] C. M. Hull, R. A. Reid-Edwards, JHEP 2009, 09, 014.
- [33] O. Hohm, B. Zwiebach, JHEP 2014, 11, 075.
- [34] B. Sazdović, Chin. Phys. 2017, C 41, 053101.
- [35] B. Sazdović, JHEP 2015, 08, 055.
- [36] L. Freidel, R. G. Leigh, Dj. Minic, JHEP 2017, 09, 060.
- [37] S. Meljanac, S. Mignemi, J. Trampetic, J. You, Phys. Rev. 2017, D 96, 045021; arXiv: 1711.09639.
- [38] P. Aschieri, M. D. Ciric, R. J. Szabo, arXiv:1710.11467; R. Blumenhagen, M. Fuchs, *JHEP* **2016**, 07, 019.
- [39] C. G. Callan, D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec, M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. 1985, B262, 593.

^[2] A. Connes, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1985, 62, 257.



Реџублика Србија

Универзишеш у Беоїраду Физички факулшеш, Беоїрад



Оснивач: Рейублика Србија Дозволу за рад број 612-00-02666/2010-04 од 10. децембра 2010. їодине је издало Минисшарсшво йросвеше и науке Рейублике Србије



Данијел, Милорад, Обрић

рођен 27. новембра 1992. їодине у Бенковцу, Рейублика Хрвайска, уйисан школске 2011/2012. їодине, а дана 20. сейшембра 2016. їодине завршио је основне академске сйудије, йрвої сшейена, на сйудијском йроїраму Теоријска и ексйерименшална физика, обима 240 (двесша чейрдесей) бодова ЕСПБ са йросечном оценом 8,16 (осам и 16/100).

На основу шоїа издаје му се ова дийлома о сшеченом високом образовању и сшручном називу дийломирани физичар

> Број: 6727500 У Беоїраду, 5. јуна 2017. їодине

Декан Проф. др Јаблан Дојчиловић Рекѿор Проф. gp Владимир Бумбаширевић

νБ

hr



Реūублика Србија

Универзишеш у Беоїраду



ДОДАТАК ДИПЛОМИ

1. Подаци о имаоцу дипломе

- 1.1 Име: Данијел
- 1.2 Презиме: Обрић
- 1.3 Датум рођења: 27. новембар 1992. године
- 1.4 Број индекса студента: 2011/2105
- JMБГ: 2711992872501

2. Подаци о стеченој дипломи

- 2.1 Стечени стручни назив: дийломирани физичар шеоријска и ексйерименшална физика
- 2.2 Научна/уметничка/стручна област (или области) студија: физичке науке
- 2.3 Назив и статус високошколске установе која издаје диплому:
 - Универзишеш у Беоїраду, државна самосшална високошколска усшанова
- 2.4 Назив и статус високошколске установе која организује студије (уколико се разликује од 2.3): Универзишеш у Београду - Физички факулшеш, државна високошколска усшанова
- 2.5 Језик на коме се одржава настава: срйски језик

3. Подаци о врсти и нивоу студија

- 3.1 Врста и степен студија: основне академске сшудије, йрвої сшейена
- 3.2 Дужина трајања студија: 4 іодине (240 ЕСПБ)
- 3.3 Услови уписа:

Завршено средње образовање у чешвороїодишњем шрајању и йоложен йријемни исйиш.

4. Подаци о садржају и постигнутим резултатима

- 4.1 Начин студирања: сшудије у седишшу усшанове
- 4.2 Назив студијског програма:
 - Теоријска и ексйерименшална физика
 - Циљеви студијског програма:
 - Стицање високих комћетенција и академских и практичних веттина из области физике,
 - Осйособљавање високо комйешеншних кадрова за научно-исшраживачки рад у физици и сродним йрироднонаучним и шехничко-шехнолошким дисцийлинама, као и за рад у образовним, йре свеја високошколским усшановама,
 - Осйособљавање за креайивно размишљање, разумевање и решавање комилексних физичких ироблема, иримену мейода физике на моделовање различийих иојава, учешће у иројекшовању и извођењу ексиерименайа,
 - Осйособљавање за самосшалан и шимски рад,
 - Обезбеђивање додашних знања неойходних за йраћење брзої научної и шехнолошкої развоја,
 - Осйособљевање за йраћење насшаве на масшер и докшорским сшудијама,
 - Стицање навика за стално найредовање у науци и научном истраживању у физици.
- 4.3 Појединости студијског програма и постигнуте оцене:

	Наставни предмети						1000	год.	0110	and realized the spect of		
ред. бр.	шифра	назив	ста- тус	еспб	укупан пред.	н број веж.	часова ост.	студ. прог.	на	наставник		
1	09TФ1004	Енглески језик 1	0.	4	2	2	-	1	9	Љиљана Поша		
2	09TΦ1005	Лабораторија физике 1	0.	3	1	-	2	1	10	Ђорђе Спасојевић		
3	09TФ1003	Обрада резултата мерења	0.	6	2	3	-	1	7	Ђорђе Спасојевић		
4	09TФ1009	Енглески језик 2	0.	4	2	2	-	1	10	Љиљана Поша		
5	09TФ1010	Лабораторија физике 2	0.	3	1	-	2	1	10	Ђорђе Спасојевић		
6	09TΦ1007	Основи хемије	0.	4	2	1	-	1	6	Милош Милчић		
7	09TΦ1001	Физичка механика	0.	9	4	3	-	1	6	Божидар Николић		
8	09TΦ1002	Математика 1Б	0.	9	4	4	-	1	7	Мирослав Павловић		

ц. шифра	Наставни предмети назив	ста- тус	спь у	купан гред.	а број ч веж.	насова ост.	год. студ. прог.	оце- на	наставник
	25	0.	9	4	4	-	1	6	Мирослав Павловић
09TФ1008	Математика 25	0.	9	4	3	-	1	8	Божидар Николић
097Ф1006	Молекуларна физика и термодинамика		-	2			2	9	Зоран Николић
09TФ2019	Програмирање за физичаре	0.	2	2		2	2	10	Никола Шишовић
2 09T \$2014	Лабораторија физике З	0,	3	1	2	2	2	7	Иванка Милошевић
3 09TФ2013	Математичка физика 1	0.	7	4	3	2	2	10	Никола Шишовић
4 09TФ2018	Лабораторија физике 4	0.	3	1	-	2	2	6	Ђорђе Кртинић
5 09T Φ 2015	Математика 4Б	0. 0.	9	4	4	-	2	6	Сунчица Елезовић
6 09TΦ2017	Георијска механика							0	Наташа Нелељковић
7 09702012	Електромагнетизам	0.	9	4	3	-	2	9	Наташа Нелељковић
09702012	Таласи и оптика	0.	9	4	3	-	2	7	Борђе Кртинић
19 09T 02011	Математика ЗБ	0.	9	4	4	-	2	8	Милан Кнежевић
20 09T 03024	Статистичка физика 1	0.	4	2	2		2	6	Воја Радовановић
21 09T 03021	Електродинамика 1	0.	5	2	2	-	3		Иван Белча
22 09T \$3023	Физичка електроника	0.	9	4	2	3	2	10	Братислав Обрадов
22 09T 00104	Лабораторија савремене физике	и.	3	2	-	-	3		Иаја Бурић
24 09TФ3020	Квантна механика 1	0.	6	3	2	2	3		У Иван Дојчиновић
25 09TФ3027	Физика атома	0.	9	4	2	3	3		8 Милан Кнежевић
26 09TØ3028	Статистичка физика 2	0.	4	2	2		3		9 Маја Бурић
27 09TФ3026	Квантна механика 2	0.	6	3			3		7 Милан Дамњанови
28 09TΦ3022	Математичка физика 2	0.	9	4	4	*	3		9 Воја Радовановић
29 09T\$3025	Електродинамика 2	0.	5	2		2	4	1	0 Иванка Милошеви
30 09TΦ4033	3 Симетрије у физици	И.	6	3		2	4		9 Ђорђе Спасојевић
31 09TФ5046	5 Теоријска физика плазме	И.	6	3		2	- 4		0 Михајло Ваневић
32 09TΦ403	2 Квантна статистичка физика	и.	6	4	*	2	2 4	1	9 Драгољуб Белић
33 09TΦ403	б Физика молекула	0.	. 9		*	2	2 4	1	6 Јован Пузовић
34 09TΦ403	0 Нуклеарна физика	0	. 9		2	2		4	6 Марија
35 09TΦ403	5 Теорија елементарних честица	И	. 6		5	~			Димитријевић
			5		2	2	-	4	8 Маја Бурић
36 09TФ404	6 Релативистичка квантна механик	a U	1 6	;	2	2	-	4	10 Воја Радовановић
37 09TΦ403	31 Квантна теорија поља 1		4 7	7	4	2	-	4	9 Михајло Ваневић
38 09TΦ403	34 Теорија кондензованог стања			-					A PARTICULAR

Наслов завршног рада: Није йредвиђено сйудијским йрограмом. Комисија за одбрану завршног рада: Није йредвиђено сшудијским йроїрамом.

4.4 Начин оцењивања на предметима:

	Број г	тоена	
Значење оцене	од	до	
одличан	91	100	
изузетно побар	81	90	
врло добар	71	80	
побар	61	70	
повољан	51	60	
dobolball	0	50	
	Значење оцене одличан изузетно добар врло добар добар довољан	Значење оцене Број г од одличан 91 изузетно добар 81 врло добар 71 добар 61 довољан 51 није положио 0	

4.5 Просечна оцена и општи успех: 8,16 (осам и 16/100) - врло добар

5. Подаци о намени стеченог назива

5.1 Приступ даљим студијама:

Ималац дийломе се може уйисайи на масйер академске сйудије.

5.2 Професионални статус:

Студенти који су успешно завршили овај студијски програм су у стању да у области физике:

- йримене фундаменшална знања из физике у научним исшраживањима и образовању, йримене мешоде физике за објашњење и моделовање различиших йојава, као и да йраше развој нових шехнологија,
- аналийички и креайивно размишљају, ше йрайе лишерайуру из обласии физике,
- уочавају и решавају нове йроблеме у физици, йланирају и изводе ексйерименше, односно формулишу и шесширају шеоријске йрешиосшавке, анализирају, иншерйреширају и йрезеншују добијене резулшаше,
- page самосшално или шимски и ефикасно комуницирају,
- имају йрофесионалну и ейичку одговорносй дийломираног физичара,
- разумеју ушицај нових ошкрића и нових шехнологија на друшшво и околину,
- унайређују своје знање и йраше развој науке шоком целої живоша.

6. Додатне информације

- 6.1 Додатне информације о студенту: Нема додашних информација.
- 6.2 Извори додатних информација о установи: http://www.bg.ac.rs

http://ff.bg.ac.rs

7. Овера додатка дипломи

7.1 Број: 6727501 Датум: 5. јун 2017. їодине

7.2 Одговорно лице

Декан, йроф. др Јаблан Дојчиловић 7.3 Печат и потпис Одговорно лице

Рекѿор, ӣроф. др Владимир Бумбаширевић Печат и потпис



0



Универзитет у Београду Физички факултет Број индекса: 2016/7034 Број: 2522017 Датум: 02.10.2017.

На основу члана 161 Закона о општем управном поступку ("Службени лист СРЈ", бр. 33/97, 31/2001 и "Службени гласник РС", бр. 30/2010) и службене евиденције, Универзитет у Београду - Физички факултет, издаје

У*ВЕРЕ*ЬЕ

Данијел Обрић

име једнот родишеља Милорад, ЈМБГ 2711992872501, рођен 27.11.1992. тодине, Бенковац, Реџублика Хрвашска, уџисан школске 2016/17. тодине, дана 27.09.2017. тодине завршио је масшер академске сџудије на сџудијском џротраму Теоријска и ексџерименџална физика, у џрајању од једне тодине, обима 60 (шездесеџи) ЕСПБ бодова, са џросечном оценом 10,00 (десеџи и 00/100).

На основу наведеног издаје му се ово уверење о стеченом високом образовању и академском називу мастер физичар.

Декан Проф. др Јаблан Дојчиловић



Република Србија Универзитет у Београду Физички факултет Прини Број индекса: 2016/7034 Датум: 09.10.2017.

На основу члана 29. Закона о општем управном поступку и службене евиденције издаје се

УВЕРЕЊЕ О ПОЛОЖЕНИМ ИСПИТИМА

Данијел Обрић, име једног родитеља Милорад, ЈМБГ 2711992872501, рођен 27.11.1992. године, Бенковац, Република Хрватска, уписан школске 2016/17. године, дана 27.09.2017. године завршио је мастер академске студије на студијском програму Теоријска и експериментална физика, у трајању од једне године, обима 60 (шездесет) ЕСПБ бодова, и стекао академски назив мастер физичар. Током студија положио је испите из следећих предмета:

Р.бр.	Шифра	Назив предмета	Оцена	ЕСПБ	Фонд часова** I:(6+4+0)	Датум 07.07.2017.
			10 (лесет)	10		
1.	15МТФКТП2	Квантна теорија поља 2	10 (десет)	10	1.(6+4+0)	04 09 2017.
2.	15ΜΤΦΟΤΡ	Општа теорија релативности	10 (десет)	10	1.(0+4+0)	10.00.2017
2	ISMTONCO	Истраживанки студијски рад	Π.	20	$\Pi:(0+0+20)$	19.09.2017.
3.	ISMITORICE	Петражива или студијена рим	10 (лесет)	20	II:(0+0+20)	27.09.2017.
4	15МТФДР	Р Дипломски рад	10 (40001)			

еквивалентиран/признат испит.

** - Фонд часова је у формату (предавања+вежбе+остало).

Укупно остварено 60 ЕСПБ. Општи успех: 10,00 (десет и 00/100)

Овлашћено лице факултета

Страна 1 од 1



Република Србија Универзитет у Београду Физички факултет Д.Бр.2018/8013 Датум: 11.10.2018. године

На основу члана 161 Закона о општем управном поступку и службене евиденције издаје се

УВЕРЕЊЕ

Обрић (Милорад) Данијел, бр. индекса 2018/8013, рођен 27.11.1992. године, Бенковац, Република Хрватска, уписан школске 2018/2019. године, у статусу: финансирање из буџета; тип студија: докторске академске студије; студијски програм: Физика.

Према Статуту факултета студије трају (број година): три. Рок за завршетак студија: у двоструком трајању студија.

Ово се уверење може употребити за регулисање војне обавезе, издавање визе, права на дечији додатак, породичне пензије, инвалидског додатка, добијања здравствене књижице, легитимације за повлашћену вожњу и стипендије.

влашћено лице факултета